

Available online at www.notulaebotanicae.ro

Print ISSN 0255-965X; Electronic 1842-4309 Not Bot Horti Agrobo, 2012, 40(1):212-215



# Influence of Substrate and Fertilization on Growth and Development of *Iris adriatica*

Ines HAN DOVEDAN<sup>1</sup>, Sanja MORIĆ<sup>1</sup>, Zoran ŠINDRAK<sup>2</sup>, Ivana CEROVSKI<sup>1</sup>, Lepomir ČOGA<sup>3</sup>, Ivan MUSTAĆ<sup>4</sup>, Miroslav POJE<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Ornamental Plants, Landscape Architecture and Garden Art, Svetošimunska cesta 25, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia; smoric@agr.hr

<sup>2</sup>University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Pomology, Svetošimunska cesta 25, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia
 <sup>3</sup>University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Nutrition, Svetošimunska cesta 25, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia
 <sup>4</sup>University of Zagreb, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Soil Amelioration, Svetošimunska cesta 25, 10 000 Zagreb, Croatia

## Abstract

*Iris adriatica* is indigenous to Mediterranean part of Croatia. It is an attractive plant and has opportunities for introduction into horticulture and the ornamental plant market. Research was conducted in the experimental garden of the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb, to assess the possibility of growing this species as a pot plant. Research included 360 potted plants placed as randomized block design experiment. The goal of this research was to determine influence of chosen substrates and fertilizers, as well as to explore growth and development dynamic of the species cultivated outdoors from June till August 2010. The following characteristics were measured: plants height, number of leaves, and number of rosettes. Biometric analysis showed that the development of *Iris adriatica* was significantly influenced only by substrate, while fertilization and interaction of substrate and fertilization showed no significant impact on examined plants characteristics ( $p \le 0.05$ ).

Keywords: Croatian flora, endemic plant species, introduction, ornamental horticulture, pot plant

## Introduction

Croatian endemic species Iris adriatica Trinajstić ex Mitić is a rhizomatic perennial plant from the family of *Iridaceae* (Fig. 1). Distribution of the species is endemic to Mediterranean region of Croatia. It is decorative due to its small habit (height up to 10 cm) and very attractive yellow and purple flowers which appear in June and July. It is mostly propagated by rhizome division but seed propagation is also possible, although much more difficult, Kereša *et al.* (2009) recommended micropropagation for commercial production as an ornamental plant.

*Iris adriatica* is included in Croatian *Red Book* of plant species (Nikolić and Topić, 2005; Šugar, 1994) where it is listed as endangered and almost endangered species with limited habitat and small population, some places completely vanished or decreased in number. Main causes of decreased population are urbanization and natural succession. The species is protected in Croatia, according to the *Ordinance on Designating Wild Taxa Protected and Strictly Protected* (NN 7/06) and has not yet been introduced into horticultural production so it would be a novel on ornamental plant market (Halevy, 1999).

Introduction into horticultural production means, except of broadening ornamental plants offer, also *ex-situ* protection of plant species which are otherwise destroyed at their natural habitat by excessive collecting, often due to its attractive appearances (Hajoš *et al.*, 2003).

The goal of this research was to assess the possibility of growing this species as a pot plant by examining influence of chosen substrates and fertilizers on growth and development of the species outdoors over a period of six weeks.

#### Materials and methods

Experiment was conducted with 360 vegetatively propagated plants of species *Iris adriatica* in the experimental garden of Department of Ornamental Plants, Landscape Architecture and Garden Art at the Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zagreb, Croatia, from June 24<sup>th</sup> to August 25<sup>th</sup> 2010. To ensure uniform growth conditions plants were propagated by rhizome division and planted into plastic pots (14 cm radius and 1.1 L volume). In the experiment two types of substrates were used (Tab. 1).

In experiment a specialized liquid fertilizer (factor F) for house and balcony plants was used. This fertilizer is intended to be used on flowering species because in addition to main macrofertilizers in composition 6-7-8 (6% nitrogen as amide, nitrate and ammoniac, 7% phosphorus solvable in water  $P_2O_5$ , and 8% potassium solvable in water  $K_2O$ ). The fertilizer also contains the most important microfertilizers which are necessary to achieve quality of flowers and induce vegetative growth. The fertilizer also contains microelements Fe, Cu, Zn and B. Tab. 2 shows monthly values of air temperature, duration of sunlight,



Fig. 1. *Iris adriatica* Trinajstić ex Mitić, photographed by Morić, 2010

precipitation, number of sunny, foggy and rainy days in Zagreb for the period in which experiment was conducted (source: Croatian Meteorological and Hydrological Service).

This two factorial experiment (factor S-substrate, F factor-fertilization) is set according to the randomized block design with five blocks. Both factors had two levels and there were four combinations:  $S_1F_0$ -Substrate 1, no fertilizer;  $S_1F_1$ -Substrate 1, 1% solution of fertilizer;  $S_2F_0$ -Substrate 2, no fertilizer;  $S_2F_1$ -Substrate 2, 1% solution of fertilizer.

Tab. 1. Structure of substrates (factor S) used in the experiment

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Structure			Substrate 2 (level S <sub>2</sub> )	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Structure         (level S <sub>1</sub> )           white peat         40           composition (%):         mixed peat         56           clay         4           Organic matter (%)         80           pH (H,O)         6.5-7           N         135           Macrofertilizer concentrate (mg L <sup>-1</sup> ):         NH <sub>4</sub> -N         90           NO <sub>3</sub> -N         45         P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 137           K <sub>2</sub> O         2000         Mg         60           Moisture (%)         65-75         30-40           Ory matter (DM), 105°C (%)         30-40         30-40           Mn         177.2         Zn         18           Cu         25.48         Pb         12.66           Cd         0.88         25.48	40	40	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Composition (%):	mixed peat	56	56	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	-	clay	4	4	
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Organic matter (%)		80	58.5	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$pH(H_2O)$		6.5-7	6.5-7	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Macrofertilizer & NO_3-N & 45 & 80 \\ \hline NO_3-N & 45 & 80 \\ \hline P_2O_5 & 137 & 150 \\ \hline K_2O & 200 & 289 \\ \hline Mg & 60 & 90.1 \\ \hline Moisture (\%) & 65-75 & 65-75 \\ \hline Dry matter (DM), & 30-40 & 40-50 \\ \hline 105^\circC (\%) & Mn & 177.2 & 188.1 \\ \hline Zn & 18 & 26.3 \\ \hline Cu & 25.48 & 19.1 \\ \hline \end{array}$		Ν	135	200	
$\frac{\text{concentrate}}{(\text{mg L}^{-1}):} = \frac{\text{NO}_3 - \text{N}}{\text{P}_2 \text{O}_5} = \frac{45}{137} = \frac{80}{150}$ $\frac{\text{P}_2 \text{O}_5}{\text{K}_2 \text{O}} = 200 = 289$ $\frac{\text{Mg}}{60} = \frac{60}{90.1}$ $\frac{\text{Moisture (\%)}}{65 - 75} = \frac{65 - 75}{65 - 75}$ $\frac{\text{Dry matter (DM)}}{105^{\circ} \text{C (\%)}} = \frac{30 - 40}{40 - 50} = \frac{40 - 50}{105 - 50}$ $\frac{\text{Mn}}{105 - 100} = \frac{177 - 2}{188 - 100} = \frac{188 - 100}{100 - 100}$ $\frac{\text{Cu}}{25 - 100} = \frac{25 - 100}{100 - 100} = \frac{100 - 100}{100 - 100}$		NH <sub>4</sub> -N	90	120	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		NO <sub>3</sub> -N	45	80	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			137	150	
Moisture (%)         65-75         65-75           Dry matter (DM), 105°C (%)         30-40         40-50           Mn         177.2         188.1           Zn         18         26.3           Cu         25.48         19.1	(IIIg L ).	K,O	200	289	
Dry matter (DM), 105°C (%)         30-40         40-50           Mn         177.2         188.1           Zn         18         26.3           Cu         25.48         19.1		Mg	60	90.1	
Mn         177.2         188.1           Zn         18         26.3           Cu         25.48         19.1	Moisture (%)		65-75	65-75	
Mn         177.2         188.1           Zn         18         26.3           Cu         25.48         19.1			30-40	40-50	
Cu 25.48 19.1		Mn	177.2	188.1	
		$(\text{level S}_{1})  (\text{level S}_{2})$ white peat 40 40 (b): mixed peat 56 56 clay 4 4 (%) 80 58.5 6.5-7 6.5-7 N 135 200 NH <sub>4</sub> -N 90 120 NO <sub>3</sub> -N 45 80 P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> 137 150 K <sub>2</sub> O 200 289 Mg 60 90.1 65-75 65-75 A), 30-40 40-50 Mn 177.2 188.1 Zn 18 26.3 Cu 25.48 19.1 Pb 12.66 11.56 Cd 0.88 0.7 Cr 1.1 0.9 Ni 6.71 4.3 As 1.1 1.1 Hg in traces in trace Mo 0.101 0.065	26.3		
Pb 12.66 11.56		Cu	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		
		$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	11.56		
	Total heavy metals (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> DM):	Cd	0.88	0.7	
		Cr	1.1	0.9	
Ni 6.71 4.3		Ni	6.71	4.3	
As 1.1 1.1		As	1.1	1.1	
Hg in traces in traces		Hg	in traces	in traces	
Mo 0.101 0.065		Mo	0.101	0.065	
Co 2.1 1.1		Со	2.1	1.1	

In the experiment the following characteristics were measured:-plants height (measured from root neck to the highest part of the plant),-maximum number of leaves per plant,-number of rosettes counted on four dates: July 14<sup>th</sup>, July 28<sup>th</sup>, August 11<sup>th</sup> and August 25<sup>th</sup>. Solution of fertilizer (10 ml L<sup>-1</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O) was applied on three dates: July 15<sup>th</sup>, July 29<sup>th</sup>, August 12<sup>th</sup> i.e. every 14 days with 0.25 dL of solution per pot.

### Statistical\_analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) of two factorial experiment (Gomez and Gomez, 1984) was performed according to randomized block experimental design with five blocks using the commercial software SAS Ver. 9.1. Values are presented as a means of five replications.

## **Results and discussion**

Plants grown in Substrate 1 were significantly shorter (in average 2 cm) than plants grown in Substrate 2, which may be related to the level of nutrients in substrate. Plants grown in supstrate containing a higher level of nutrients (especially nitrogen) had a stronger vegetative growth, and a smaller number of rosettes (Tab. 3). In contrast to the plant height, the number of rosettes per plant was higher in plants grown in Substrate 1 (Tab. 5). There was no statistically significant influence of substrate on number of leaves per plant, except in measurement on July 28<sup>th</sup>, although a higher number of leaves were measured on plants grown in Substrate 2 (Tab. 4). All the results indicate that plants grown on Substrate 1 had a more compact growth which is highly desirable in growing this species as a flowering pot plant.

Balanced fertilization is very important for growth and development of flowering pot plants whereby it is relevant that certain amount of nutrition supply is available to the plant in different stages of growth.

Only basic guidelines for amounts of necessary nutrition solutions are available for most ornamental plants, except for some important species which are grown in large scale (Finck, 1982; Hershey and Paul, 1981; King *et al.*,

Tab. 2. Meteorological values for Zagreb in June, July and August 2010

Zagreb (	2010)	Jun	Jul	Aug
Air temperature (°C)	mean	19.1	20.8	20
	aps. max.	37.6	40.4	39.8
(C)	aps. min.	2.5	5.4	3.7
Sunlight (in hours)		243.7	281	256
Precipitation (mm)		96	81.6	89.3
	sunny	3	7	8
Number of days	foggy	1	0	1
	rainy	13	13 11	
	warm	15	22	20
	hot	3	7	6

214

Tab. 3. Substrate and fertilization influence on plant height of <i>Iris adriatica</i> . Partially outline of the ANOVA for plant height
characteristic; mean values for main effects levels and their combinations are listed on the right side

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Date	Computed F	$\Pr > F$		Plant height (cm)		ı)	
Treatment	3	Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	2.33 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.1259					
		Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	6.85**	0.0061					
combinations		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	8.81**	0.0023					
		Aug. 25th	3.49*	0.0500					
					5	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	S	2	
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	4.94 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.0462	9	.9	11	.1	
Substrate (S)	(1)	Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	20.37**	0.0007	9.5		12	2.0	**
		Aug. 11th	25.69**	0.0003	10.2		12	8	**
		Aug. 25 <sup>th</sup>	10.15**	0.0078	10.8		12	9	**
Fertilization (F)					F	2	F	0	
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	1.85 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.1985	10.2			).9	
	(1)	Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	0.19 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.6689	10.6		10	).9	
		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	0.00 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.9448	11.5		11.5		
		Aug. 25 <sup>th</sup>	0.26 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.6175	11	11.7		12.0	
Interaction (SxF)	(1)				$S_1F_1$	$S_1F_0$	$S_2F_1$	$S_2F_0$	
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	0.20 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.6625	9.7	10.2	10.6	11.6	
		Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	0.00 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.9916	9.4	9.6	11.9	12.1	
		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	0.73 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.4109	10.4	10.0	12.6	13.0	
		Aug. 25 <sup>th</sup>	0.01 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.9386	10.7	11.0	12.7	13.1	

n.s.-not significant; \*\*-significant at  $p \le 0.01$ ; \*-significant at  $p \le 0.05$ ; S<sub>1</sub>=Substrate 1; S<sub>2</sub>=Substrate 2; F<sub>1</sub>=fertilized; F<sub>0</sub>=not fertilized; Int. S×F=interaction substrate×fertilization

1995; Macz *et al.*, 2001). Regarding the fact that growing of species of genus *Iris* (Armitage, 1995; Ganslmeier and Henseler, 1985; Nau, 1996) requires substrate rich in nutrition solutions, two commercial substrates different in organic matter percentage and nutritions concentration were used in this experiment. According to research conducted by Vršek *et al.* (2004) natural habitat of species *I*.

*adriatica* is rich in humus (9.83 to 11.38%), contains low levels of plant available phosphorus (1.75 to 2.18 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup>) while potassium and nitrogen are highly available to the plant (190.92-315.44 mg K kg<sup>-1</sup> and 45.16-67.74 mg N kg<sup>-1</sup>).

Marschner (1995) states that many perennials have growth adapted to soils with low levels of nutrition solu-

Tab. 4. Substrate and fertilization influence on number of leaves per plant of *Iris adriatica*. Partially outline of the ANOVA for number of leaves characteristic; mean values for main effects levels and their combinations are listed on the right side

Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Date	Computed F	Pr > F	Number of leaves per plant		plant	
Treatment	3	Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	2.78 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.0869				
		Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	8.20**	0.0031				
combinations		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	2.69 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.0936				
		Aug. 25 <sup>th</sup>	1.49 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.0936				
					5	S <sub>1</sub>	S	2
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	6.58 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.0248	4	.0	4.	3
Substrate (S)	(1)	Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	24.26**	0.0004	4.1		4.	9 **
		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	7.53 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.0178	4.8		5.4	
		Aug. 25 <sup>th</sup>	4.13 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.0649	5.2		5.6	
	(1)				Ι		F	0
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	1.50 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.2434	4.1		4.2	
Fertilization (F)		Jul. 28th	0.31 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.5886	4.6		4.5	
		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	0.53 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.4821	5.2		5.0	
		Aug. 25 <sup>th</sup>	0.01 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.9314	5.4		5.4	
Interaction (SxF)	(1)				S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>0</sub>
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	0.25 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.6274	3.9	4.1	4.3	4.4
		Jul. 28th	0.02 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.8918	4.2	4.1	5.0	4.9
		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	0.00 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.9637	4.9	4.7	5.5	5.3
		Aug. 25 <sup>th</sup>	0.32 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.5815	5.2	5.1	5.5	5.7

Note: n.s.-not significant; \*\*-significant at  $p \le 0.01$ ; \*-significant at  $p \le 0.05$ ; S<sub>1</sub>=Substrate 1; S<sub>2</sub>=Substrate 2; F<sub>1</sub>= fertilized; F<sub>0</sub>=not fertilized; Int. S×F=interaction substrate×fertilization

					0				
Source of variation	Degree of freedom	Date	Computed F	Pr > F	Nui	nber of r	osettes pe	r plant	
Treatment		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	14.85**	0.0002					
	2	Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	10.69**	0.0010					
combinations	3	Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	12.52**	0.0005					
		Aug. 25th	6.84**	0.0061					
					S	5	S	2	
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	43.55**	< 0.0001	1.	96	1.	31	**
Substrate (S)	(1)	Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	31.14**	0.0001	1.88		1.	37	**
		Aug. 11th	35.05**	< 0.0001	1.96		1.4	49	**
		Aug. 25th	18.23**	0.0011	2.05		1.5	55	**
					F <sub>1</sub>		F	2	
Fertilization (F)		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	0.00 <sup>n.s.</sup>	1.0000	1.64			54	
	(1)	Jul. 28 <sup>th</sup>	0.29 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.6000	1.65		1.37		
		Aug. 11th	1.45 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.2517	1.77		1.67		
		Aug. 25th	0.01 <sup>n.s</sup>	0.9331	1.80		1.79		
Interaction (SxF)	(1)				S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>1</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub> F <sub>0</sub>	
		Jul. 14 <sup>th</sup>	1.01 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.3351	1.91	2.01	1.36	1.26	
		Jul. 28th	0.64 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.4409	1.87	1.90	1.43	1.30	
		Aug. 11 <sup>th</sup>	1.06 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.3240	1.96	1.95	1.57	1.40	
		Aug. 25th	2.28 <sup>n.s.</sup>	0.1572	1.96	2.13	1.64	1.45	

Tab. 5. Substrate and fertilization influence on number of rosettes per plant of *Iris adriatica*. Partially outline of the ANOVA for number of rosettes; mean values for main effects levels and their combinations are listed on the right side

Note: n.s.-not significant; \*\*-significant at  $p \le 0.01$ ; \*-significant at  $p \le 0.05$ ; S<sub>1</sub>=Substrate 1; S<sub>2</sub>=Substrate 2; F<sub>1</sub>=fertilized; F<sub>0</sub>=not fertilized; Int. S×F=interaction substrate×fertilization

tions, and that they continue to grow without showing any visual symptoms of deficiency.

Finck (1982) indicates that efforts to achieve optimal levels of nutrition solutions in soil during plant growth often result in exceeding of optimal levels and in danger of over fertilization.

Biometrical analysis clearly shows that the only factor with significant influence on growth of plant species *Iris adriatica* was substrate, while fertilization and interaction of substrate and fertilization did not show any significant influence in measured plants characteristics (with P  $\leq 0.05$ ). In this particular case this means that fertilization can be left out which decreases the costs of plants production. This research confirms it is possible to grow plant species *Iris adriatica* as a flowering pot plant.

## References

- Armitage AM (1995). Speciality Cut Flowers, 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon.
- Finck A (1982). Fertilizers and Fertilization, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim.
- Ganslmeier H, K Henseler (1985). Schnittstauden, Verlag Eugen Ulmer, Stuttgart.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA (1984). Statistical Procedures for Agricultural Research, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York.
- Hajoš D, Vršek I, Karlović K, Židovec V, Morić S (2003). Commercial cultivation of indigenous plant species, a measure of *ex-situ* conservation (in Croatian). Sjemenarstvo 20:37-45.
- Halevy AH (1999). Ornamentals: Where diversity is king-the Israeli experience. Perspectives on new crops and new uses.

ASHS Press 404-406.

- Hershey DR, Paul JL (1981). Critical foliar levels of potassium in pot chrysanthemum. Hort Sci 16(2):220-222.
- Kereša S, Mihovilović A, Ćurković-Perica M, Mitić B, Barić M, Vršek I, Marchetti S (2009). *In vitro* regeneration of the Croatian endemic species *Iris adriatica* Trinajstić ex Mitić. Acta Biologica Cracoviensia Series Botanica 51(2):7-12.
- King JJ, Peterson LA, Stimart DP (1995). Ammonium and nitrate uptake through development in *Dendranthema* grandfolium. Hort Sci 30(3):499-503.
- Macz O, Paparozzi ET, Stroup W (2001). Effect of nitrogen and sulfur applications on pot chrysanthemum production and postharvest performance. I. leaf nitrogen and sulfur concentrations. Journal of Plant Nutrition 24(1):111-129.
- Marschner H (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Academic Press, London.
- Nau J (1996). Ball Perennial Manual, 1<sup>st</sup> Ed. Ball Publishing, Batavia, Illinois.
- Nikolić T, Topić J (2005). Red Book of Vascular Flora of Croatia, Categories EX, RE, CR, EN and VU, Ministry of culture, State Institute for Nature Protection, Zagreb.
- SAS Institute Inc. (2004). SAS/STAT Software, Version 9.1., User's Guide, SAS Institute, Cary, NC.
- Šugar I (1994). Red Book of Plant Species of Croatia, Ministry of Environmental Protection, Physical Planning and Construction, Institute for Nature Protection, Zagreb.
- Vršek I, Mitić B, Bujan M, Čoga L, Milović M, Richter M (2004). *Iris adriatica* Trinajstić ex Mitić, potential plant suitable for cultivation in pots, 173-174 p. In: Mitić B, Šoštarić R (Eds.). Book of abstracts. Prvi hrvatski botanički simpozij s međunarodnim sudjelovanjem. Hrvatsko botaničko društvo, Zagreb.