Winter wheat crop water consumption and its effect on yields in southern Romania, in the very dry 2019-2020 agricultural year
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Abstract

Researches on winter wheat in the south part of Romanian Plain during the dry years 2019 and 2020 have been focused on the crop water consumption issue in excessive conditions of air and soil drought. The wheat crop water consumption in the research sites (Calarasi and Teleorman counties), for the entire vegetation period, autumn – spring – summer, is between 1000 and 1050 m³ of water for each ton of wheat produced. Only in the spring-summer period, the wheat extracts a quantity of about 5960 m³ ha⁻¹, i.e. 851 m³ t⁻¹. The useful water reserve is normally located at about 1500 m³/ha⁻¹, at a soil depth of 0-150 cm. In the spring of 2020, it has been below 400 m³ ha⁻¹, so that at the beginning of May the soil moisture had almost reached the wilting coefficient (WC). Wheat plants have been able to survive the thermal and water shock of late spring - early summer, due to enhanced thermal alternation between air and soil. For a period of about 34 days, this alternation brought the plants 1-1.5 mm water, i.e. approximately 442 m³ ha⁻¹, which allowed the prolongation of the plant’s agony until the rains of the second half of May. Yields have been, depending on the variety, between 1500 and 3000 kg ha⁻¹, in average, covering only 60% of the crop costs. Other measures to save water in the soil have also been proposed in the paper.
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Introduction

Climate changes, especially the rising temperatures and the declining rainfall volume, have a major influence on crops, causing an imbalance in soil water supply (Ray et al., 2018; Shayanmehr et al., 2020). Chowdhury et al. (2016) developed a study on crops’ water needs for the period 2011-2050, based on four scenarios related to temperatures and precipitation.

Although resistant to abiotic stresses, wheat crop becomes sensitive when high temperatures persist for several days, especially when the water is missing too (Pradhan et al., 2012; He et al., 2014; Zampieri et al., 2017). According to several authors, the stress caused by drought and heat affects both the physiology (Duggan...
et al., 2020) and the yields of wheat plants (Giunta et al., 1993; Jamieson et al., 1995; Yu et al., 2018; Qaseem et al., 2019; Anwaar et al., 2020). Some agrotechnics may partially reduce the negative effects of climate change, with beneficial consequences on crop yields (Zhang et al., 2009; Sang et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2020).

In literature is mentioned a wheat crop water consumption between 748 and 1400 m$^3$/t of grains obtained (Erie et al., 1981; Li et al., 2008; Muratoglu, 2020). Most researchers concluded that the wheat consumption is always around 1000 m$^3$/t of grains (ACES, 2018; Nulsen and Baxter, 1987; Scott et al., 2019a; Scott et al., 2019b), almost 3 times more than in the case of maize and 7-8 times more than in the case of potatoes (Berca, 2011).

However, there are also many authors (Berca, 2011; Fan et al., 2013; Muratoglu, 2020) who believe that through crop technologies, varieties, fertilizers, tillage and preceding plants, the water consumption of the crop can be reduced up to 800 m$^3$/t wheat and even less, but not below 600 m$^3$/t.

The problems related to the supply of water to plants through soil are extremely complex, and the figures involving the actual water consumption of plants can only be calculated with low probabilities (high risks) due to the enormous number of factors present in this system, to the interactions among them and to their frequent vector changes, which do not allow their measurement through research, nor the quantification of their influence. Therefore, the advanced figures on water demand and the take-up model can only be estimated, with probabilities not exceeding 80%, in the happiest case. At an estimated consumption of 1000 m$^3$/t wheat, it becomes clear that this requirement can be at the same time 800 or 1200 m$^3$/t.

At a production of 6 t wheat/ha, the differences could be of ±2400 m$^3$/ha, i.e. 240 mm precipitation, where they are the only source of soil supply. It is unlikely that, with the current research methodologies, we will obtain more precise results, but only clearer trends, evaluations, which will allow us technological performances.

Knowing the water consumption of plants starts from the two parameters of consumption (evaporation – E and transpiration – T), together are known as evapotranspiration (ET), which can be calculated in many ways (Hobbs and Krogman, 1974; Tyagi et al., 2000; Patel et al., 2010; Drerup et al., 2017; Cui et al., 2018; da Silva et al., 2020). The two components can be determined separately or together.

Evaporation (E) refers to the loss of water from the soil where the crop is placed. Specific literature has been used and methods for determining transpiration (T) (Fletcher et al., 2018) or evapotranspiration (Lu et al., 2018; Kenjabaev et al., 2020) in wheat have been assimilated. Using the CROPWAT model (Smith et al., 2002) and the Mark Marsalis model (ACES, 2018), we were able to simulate the required daily plant consumption over the entire vegetation period.

Materials and Methods

Description of study sites

Water consumption is highly correlated with pedoclimatic factors, which is why the methodology took them into account (Blaga et al., 2005; Hoban, 2008; Cojocaru, 2016). The research has been conducted in the south part of Romania, in Romanian Plain, generally characterized by a semi-arid to arid climate.

The studies and observations have been carried out in two localities: Calomfiresti – Teleorman county (43.92° lat. N, 25.35° long. E) and Modelu – Calarasi county (44.20° lat. N, 27.39° long. E). The research focused on wheat crop and aimed, in addition to a potential water consumption for optimal yields, the real situation. The distance between the two research points is around 350 km. Cultivated soils were leached chernozems, with about 30-32% clay and 3.5% humus. Soils are hardly permeable to water and have no contact with the groundwater. Deep water leaks weren’t found. In Calarasi the experiments have been located on a slightly carbonated chernozem, with about 24-25% clay and 3.1-3.2% humus, with a better permeability and with contact with groundwater at precipitation over 100 mm.
In Teleorman it rains, on average for 30 years, 543.9 mm, i.e. 5439 m³/ha⁻¹, while in Calarasi it rains 490.9 mm, i.e. 4909 m³/ha⁻¹, with 530 m³/ha⁻¹ less (~10%). Hence the more arid regime.

The water reserve on the depth of 1.5 meters has been, in autumn, of only 1300 m³ ha⁻¹ at Calomfiresti and 530 m³ ha⁻¹ at Modelu, very small, the deficiency being accentuated in winter 2020-spring 2021. Tracking the balance of water in soil and plants is a complex operation, for which there is currently no simple equipment to perform it. Therefore, to establish the water reserve in the soil, the classic method has been used, drying the soil in an oven, on well-defined cylinders. The determinations were performed in autumn, at depths from 30 to 30 cm, up to 150 cm. Water inflows and outflows from the system, which allowed us to calculate the dynamics of the reserve, have been performed by interpreting data taken from weather stations – rainfall, temperature, air humidity, wind speed and insolation (model by Nulsen and Baxter, 1987).

 Evaluated parameters

The models developed in France by Jacques Beauchamp (2006), who also worked on very similar soils, were also used. The water balance of the soil is given by:

a) falling precipitation;
b) actual evapotranspiration (AET);
c) water losses through horizontal and vertical leakages (on slopes and drains).

Taking into account the fact that due to the severe droughts and the flat terrain there are no leaks at the surface and in depth, the water balance is limited only to precipitation (P) and actual evapotranspiration (AET). As precipitation is measurable, the AET and potential evapotranspiration (PET) remain to be calculated. For this there are several empirical formulas, such as those of Thornthwaite, Penman or Turc (Beauchamp, 2006).

According to Turc, the formula for calculating the actual evapotranspiration is:

\[
AET(\text{mm/year}) = \frac{P}{\left(0.9 + \frac{P^2}{L^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{1}
\]

where: \(L = 0.05t^3 + 25t + 300\)

\(P\) = precipitation (mm)

\(t\) = temperature (°C)

Potential evapotranspiration (PET) expresses the potential consumption of the crop. When the water doesn't fall below 30% of the active humidity range (AHR), it is calculated using Thornthwaite's formula. The productive component of AET or PET is transpiration (T), which correlates with evapotranspiration (E) in a ratio \(\frac{T}{E} = 5.7\), with variations between 2.0 and 9.0. The degree of soil covered by the crop increases or, on the contrary, reduces this ratio (Scrădeanu and Gheorghe, 2007). The ET coefficient represents the amount of water consumed by the plant in order to obtain one kg of dry matter or one kg of actual yield, in which case it may be about the R coefficient (R = real).

Statistical analysis

To create the functions of wheat consumption during its vegetation period, the statistical calculation of correlations has been used, in order to determine the total or partial consumption (in stages) for an optimal situation and then comparisons with the concrete situation of the very dry agricultural year 2019-2020 have been made.
Results and Discussion

Based on the data of the researchers cited above and many others (Allen et al., 1998; Moutonnet, 2002; MMGA, 2005), but also using our own determinations on rainfall and soil humidity dynamics, as well as the data provided by the National Administration of Meteorology, a model of wheat crop water consumption (Figure 1) and a model of water absorption from the soil for a yield of about 6.5-7.0 tons, achievable in the Romanian agricultural area, have been developed. Mathematically, the theory of probability has been used, using the Table Curve 2D program for calculating the time functions during the wheat vegetation period, the water consumption and its uptake from the soil. That allowed us to obtain extremely complex functions with a high degree of probability (low, insignificant risk) starting from the collected data, combined with those personally measured. For the calculation of the water consumed during certain vegetation periods, the integral of the function for that period and for the entire vegetation period has been calculated. The vegetation period of the wheat was of 273 days (on average), so that:

\[
\int_{1}^{273} f(x)\,dx = 753 \text{ mm} = 7530 \text{ m}^3\text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ wheat}
\]  

(2)

![Figure 1. Water consumption of wheat (mm) during the growing season in a favorable area](image)

Own data, processed using the Table Curve 2D analysis program

From Figure 1 it results that the total water consumption of wheat crops in Romania during the period from sowing to maturation-ripening (at about 14% humidity) is 7530 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ for a yield of 7.0-7.5 t ha$^{-1}$, resulting an indicator of 1004 - 1075 m$^3$ water t$^{-1}$ grains. Of these, 740 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ were consumed since autumn, in a period of about 56 days, until the appearance of frosts. During the winter, both transpiration and evaporation were negligible, immeasurable for 35-40 days, on average. In the agricultural year 2019-2020, in the second part of January there were temperatures high enough to interrupt the crop wintering, which became active earlier than usual. Starting with the second decade of February 2020, the daily consumption reached 1-2 mm day$^{-1}$, and from mid-March it increased gradually, going through all the phases of wheat development, reaching the maximum consumption of 8-9 mm day$^{-1}$ from flowering until the formation of grains and then decreased to 6-7 mm day$^{-1}$ until the milk-wax phase and keep decreasing until ripening and, then, harvesting. By using the integral calculation, the water consumption of the crop for the desired period have been obtained.
If the water consumption in autumn is higher, it means that the plants are much more developed than they should be, so they could be subject to disease and pests ever since autumn, and the excess of consumed water is unproductive, since plants are forced to pass, during winter, by the vernalization process, in order to differentiate their reproductive organs.

In Figure 2 the depletion of water in the soil only during the period of active vegetation, from spring to maturity, is shown. The calculation has been made taking into account the consumption for each half of month, starting with the second half of January and until the beginning of July. In total, 13 halves of months, i.e. six and a half months (195 days ± 5%).

From Figure 2, created after the USDA model (Erie et al., 1981), we see that, on a multiannual average, the extraction of water from the soil by evaporation and transpiration (E + T) begins in December - January (insignificant) and becomes significant in March, at 49 mm month^{-1} (twice as much as in February). The extraction of water from the soil increases sharply starting with April and continuing in May and June. The maximum extraction by E + T is achieved at the time of 8.25 halves of month of consumption and is 41.1 mm = 411 m^3 over this 15-day interval. Practically, this means the first half of June, when the flowering and grain formation take place, after which the water left from the soil by evapotranspiration is reduced, and if the soil, exceptionally, is wet, it’s oriented towards evaporation.

From this point forward, own measurements and those of the cited researchers cease. The maximum of depleted water was calculated by applying the derivative at the maximum point of the function in Figure 2. The total amount of depleted water was found by calculating the integral under the curve for the entire depletion period, i.e.:

\[
\int_{1}^{56} f(x)\,dx = 74 \text{ mm} = 740 \text{ m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}
\]

\[
Autumn \ consumption = \int_{1}^{56} f(x)\,dx = 74 \text{ mm} = 740 \text{ m}^3\text{ha}^{-1}
\]  

(3)

(4)
Comparing these data with those of Figure 1, it results that the water extracted by plants from the soil through E + T during spring was lower than the water actually consumed, the values being the following: 753-774 > 596, 679 > 596, the difference being of 83 mm = 830 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$.

It is assumed that, in conditions of lack of surface runoff during periods of severe drought, such as that of 2020, the plants have appealed to condensation water, obtained by dew, due to the high alternation between day and night time heat values, which according to our measurements it could have brought, in about 35 days with good condensation, about 70 mm, i.e. between 1-2 mm day$^{-1}$. This volume is insignificant for the soil, but very important for the survival of the crop between two periods of small rains, up to the coming of a heavier rain.

In Figure 3, inspired by Shankar et al. (2012) and Erie et al. (1981), it’s presented the scheme of a soil water depletion model, given that the soil is periodically supplied with water from precipitation, at intervals that didn’t allow the soil moisture to decrease (%). Under these conditions, 87% of the water removed from the soil was in the 0-90 cm depth horizon, 96% at a depth of 0-120 cm, 99% at 0-150 cm and 100% at 0-180 cm. In has also been noticed, on the soil profile, that the root system is rarely found at depths greater than 100 cm, from where about 90% of the soil water is extracted by E + T. So, it’s very important for the rains to bring enough water to moisten 100-150 cm soil, in depth. Deeper water can be lost.

![Figure 3](image.png)

**Figure 3.** Model of soil water depletion, by mixed consumption (E + T), for medium soils and different consumption phases

It’s emphasized from Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 4 that there is a variable parallelism between the calculations regarding the water consumption of wheat plants (crops) and the amount of water actually extracted. The calculations were performed according to data collected from several authors (Berca et al., 2012; Hoekstra and Hung, 2002; Liess, 2017; Shankar et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2002).

Assuming that the processed data are as close as possible to the reality in the field, it’s noticed that, at the beginning of vegetation, almost all of the water consumed by plants is delivered by the soil. The difference between consumption and what comes out of the soil increases constantly until June, when this difference is the highest (about 970 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$). The obvious question arises: if the crop consumes more than it takes out of the soil, what other source of water completes the deficit?
There is, given the lack of groundwater and the circulation of water through the soil, generated by slopes, a source that we consider very important, especially in the spring, when the difference in positive temperatures between day and night is very large ($10-20^\circ C$). At such differences there is water condensation, forming abundant dew on the leaves of plants. The water is then directed to the base of the stem and it helps the crop to survive until the first significant rainfall (Figure 5).
If the autumn and winter are rich in rainfall, soils can form a water reserve – between 900 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ on shallow soils and about 2000 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ on clay soils. In south Romania crops could count on about 1500-1800 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$, this being the useful reserve of water. This amount of water could ensure the consumption of plants in March and April, after which the lack of rain would quickly lead to drought, which affects the plants exactly during the formation of straw and the formation of reproductive organs, when the consumption is very high.

In order for this consumption (shown in Figure 1) to be achieved by plants without losing energy, it would be necessary to maintain the soil above the capillary breaking moisture index. It means that in March-April it should also rain at intervals of 20 days, at least 150 mm of precipitation, which is quite difficult to obtain, especially in southern Romania. Let's not forget the target of 7500 kg wheat ha$^{-1}$. The rains that fall in May-June are, usually, enough to ensure the consumption of plants, while those of July are no longer necessary for the wheat crops.

**The situation in the agricultural year 2019-2020 in southern Romania**

Results obtained in experimental fields and in production conditions in Modelu (Calarasi county) and in Calomfresti (Teleorman county) were calculated. These results represent the average of six premium wheat varieties. It’s to be mentioned that the 2018-2019 agricultural year was also very dry and didn’t leave in soil a convenient water reserve in autumn 2019.

According to our determinations, at the end of 2019 the water reserve in the soil (at 150 cm depth) was about 610 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ in Modelu and 1030 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ in Calomfresti. From January to June 2020, 253 mm of rainfall were recorded in Modelu and 309 mm in Calomfresti, so a total of 3140 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ of water was available in Modelu, respectively 4020 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ in Calomfresti. However, the plus of 900 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ for the entire vegetation period doesn’t explain a yield difference of 2730 kg wheat ha$^{-1}$ (Table 1). From the entire equation, the yield surplus from Calomfresti appears difficult to explain at first sight. The evapotranspiration was the same in both locations. The difference in useful water reserve (about 900 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ in addition to Calomfresti) explains an increase of about 1000 kg ha$^{-1}$. The remaining 1730 kg ha$^{-1}$ can only be explained by a better distribution of the rains.

**Table 1.** Meteorological data (rainfall, evapotranspiration) explaining the wheat yields in southern Romania, in the 2019-2020 agricultural year (average for six varieties)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Months</th>
<th>Modelu - Calarasi</th>
<th>Calomfresti - Teleorman</th>
<th>Average pp. 1961-1990</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Precip.</td>
<td>ET</td>
<td>Difference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>-18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>-7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>-46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>117.9</td>
<td>-112.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>-47.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>97.4</td>
<td>+19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount pp.</td>
<td>253.0</td>
<td>466.5</td>
<td>-213.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average yield (kg ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>3150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***It notes that there is a very significant difference, comparing with the control version, according to the variance analysis, for a limit difference of 5% (LD5%).

January, March and April were extremely harsh in terms of rainfall. March and especially April are the months in which wheat crop has an intense vegetative growth, including the formation of straw, knots, the reproductive organs differentiation and the beginning of the ear formation. In 2019-2020, the drought in Calarasi led to the earlier formation of fewer spikelet per ear and, so, to a reduce number of grains per ear. In Calomfresti were, in March and April, at least three times more rains than in Modelu, where it rained in second
part of May, when the wheat crop was already partially compromised. Also, water consumption in Calomfirești was dominated by the productive consumption component (“T” – transpiration), the crops were well coagulated, covering the soil, reducing significantly the unproductive consumption component (“E” – evaporation). At Modelu was exactly the opposite.

The very severe drought of winter-spring, associated with very high temperatures during this period, led to the rapid depletion of water, to the decrease of soil moisture and to the inhibition of crop development.

If the plants still resisted, this was due to water condensation on the plants (dew), very abundant in the late winter – early spring months and which brought between 1-2 l m$^{-2}$ = 10-20 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$. Water reached the base of the plant, helping it to survive. Between 50 and 110 dew drops were formed on each plant, which at an average of 400 plants m$^{-2}$ means a total of 1.0-2.2 l m$^{-2}$ [0.05 × (50...110) × 400]. It turns out that, in this way, the crop receives between 10 and 22 m$^3$ ha$^{-1}$ for the days with the highest and best condensation.

In March-April, some small rains, of 3-4 mm, were added to the dew amount. The water remained in the surface layer, where the plant roots developed, in round balloons form, looking for the little water that came from above. The roots couldn’t penetrate deeper than 15-20 cm due to lack of water. On the other hand, in Calomfirești the roots were normally developed, the water reserve being present up to 75 cm in depth (Figure 6, A and B).

![Figure 6. Comparison between two root system development; (A) In a soil with a good water reserve (Calomfirești, late autumn 2019); (B) In a soil poor in water (Modelu, spring 2020)](image)

Own pictures, made at the two-research location, in the mentioned dates

Remaining of the roots in the soil shallow layer is useful as long as we have dew (condensation). With increasing temperatures (warm – heat) and reduced relative humidity dew no longer forms and, in the absence of rain, plants enter in high stress, the metabolism is dramatically reduced and, if the phenomenon occurs in April or early May, when the production elements (ears, spikelets, grains) are in formation, they are highly reduced or not formed at all. Yield is compromised or quantitatively and qualitatively reduced. This was the situation in the agricultural year 2019-2020 on about 1 million ha, where yields between 0 and 2500 kg ha$^{-1}$ were obtained.
With wheat varieties that are more drought tolerant, making water savings (Tamino and Bitop, for example), up to 3100-3500 kg ha\(^{-1}\) have been obtained. However, there is a positive correlation during drought, between the specific water consumption of the soil, the yield and its quality. A pattern of wheat crop behavior in southern Romania is presented in Figure 7, confirming the above, through calculations and observations.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Correlation between the amount of precipitation and the wheat yields obtained in different regions from Romania, with premium wheat varieties

Own data, processed using the Table Curve 2D analysis program

**Conclusions**

The water consumption of wheat crop for a yield of 6-7 t ha\(^{-1}\) is between 6000 and 7500 m\(^{3}\) ha\(^{-1}\), i.e. about 1000 m\(^{3}\) t\(^{-1}\) of grains. Precipitations that normally fall in Romania can ensure average yields of 6-7 t ha\(^{-1}\) with the current varieties and technologies. Groundwater supply and irrigation can correct the lack of water for optimal consumption. It is safer to correct the necessary water consumption through irrigation, where possible.

Technologies can have an essential contribution to water supply for crops, by conserving the useful reserve and reducing evaporation (unproductive consumption), in favor of transpiration (productive consumption). The preceding plant and the basic field works can also make significant differences in preserving useful water for plants (10-30%). Fertilizers application, especially nitrogen, to the required doses (20-24 kg N t\(^{-1}\) wheat) lead to a good coverage of the land with plants, to the change of the transpiration-evaporation ratio in favor of transpiration, as the productive component of PET. Fighting diseases and especially weeds leaves more water in the soil, available to wheat plants. Dew (condensation on plants) plays an important role in supporting plants in the spring, in conditions of severe drought, especially at ground level.

Also, choosing annually wheat varieties with low specific water consumption and higher tolerance to water stress is a wise decision for farmers. All additional measures that reduce evaporation at the soil surface, such as reducing winds through protective curtains, retaining snow on cultivated fields using wind protecting fences, mulching, green manure, fallow ground and others, there are also elements to optimize the regulation of water retention in the soil and its economical consumption by plants. Using optimal technologies, wheat crop will become quite productive even in drought areas.
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