Effect of digestate from anaerobic digestion on *Sorghum bicolor* L. production and circular economy
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Abstract

The aim of the research was determination the efficiency of application 50 t ha⁻¹ digestate from the process of anaerobic digestion on the productivity of sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L.) on moderately degraded (calcic gleysol) and fertile (chernozem) agricultural land, in southern Banat, Republic of Serbia. In the field experiment during three years digestate amendment led to an increase in the number of leaves by 28.56% and plant height by 5.34%, which led to an increase in yield by 3.40%. The maximum yield was 2018 (41.74 DM t ha⁻¹) on chernozem. The yield of sorghum was lower on calcic gleysol compared to chernozem by 5.43% and was in positive, medium and very significant dependence on precipitation (0.61) and in positive significant correlation with digestate (0.53) and plant height (0.59). Biogas yield reach 157.05 Nm³ t⁻¹ (9582 Nm³ ha⁻¹) on chernozem with digestate. Digestate had a statistically significant positive effect on all tested characteristics of sorghum as well as biogas yield during all three experimental years. The use of digestate as a by-product in the process of producing biogas based on silage of sorghum, allows the substitution of mineral fertilizers and remediation of damaged soil, which contributes to sustainability from the bio-economic and environmental aspects.
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Introduction

The production and use of biogas as a renewable energy source, contribute to several goals of sustainable development (SDG): zero hunger, good health and well-being, gender equality, clean water and sanitation, affordable and clean energy, industry, innovation and infrastructure, sustainable cities and communities, climate action and life on land. In order to achieve sustainability, it is necessary, in addition to the energy purposes, to fulfil the environmental characteristics of this process, primarily disposing of biological waste,
reducing of the net production of gases with the greenhouse effect (primarily CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4}), maintenance of the fertility of agricultural land and to use marginal land with simultaneously remediation (Galey\text{e} et al., 2019). Biomass used either in thermo-chemical or biochemical processes is a “biodegradable part of products, waste and residues of biological origin from agriculture (including material of plant and animal origin), forestry and related industry, including fisheries and aquaculture and also a biodegradable part of industrial and municipal waste” (EP 2009: Directive 2009/28 / EC). Developing countries show expressed interest for biomass utilization as renewable energy source because their economies are mainly based on agriculture (Kirubakuna et al., 2009).

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a widespread energy transformation technology that simultaneously minimizes negative environmental impacts caused by inadequate residue management from agricultural production, primarily animal husbandry. During the AD process are obtained biogas and digestate. Biogas can be used directly or for the production of electricity. Digestate (ADD), usually contains significant amounts of macro and micronutrients, and it is used as bio-fertilizer or amendment (Mortola et al., 2019) in order to avoid synthetically produced fertilizers by replacement a closed nutrient cycle between crops and soil (Nabel et al., 2017). Crops are cultivated dedicatedly for AD for stabilizing or improving other raw materials (such as poor sludge, food waste with unstable composition). The most commonly used are corn, grass silage, energy turnip, whole plants of cereals. Their production must be incorporated into crops rotation, whereby the ratio of raw material components is regulated by law (Makadi et al., 2012; Nkoa, 2014). The use the ADD in improving productive soil characteristics shows both positive and negative environmental impacts depending on the raw material used in the process, and the results were reviewed by Nkoa (2014). Efficiency of ADD as bio-fertilizer in many cases, according to literature data, is between those of livestock manure and mineral fertilizers (Simon et al., 2015; Popović et al., 2020). According to EU legislative digestate may be classified at three categories: “organic soil improver”, “growing medium”, and “organic, non-microbial plant biostimulant” but no “organic fertilizers” (Stürmer et al., 2020). However, in soil-plant system, including microorganisms, there are numerous processes and its controlling mechanisms leading to sometime controversy results of ADD effect on crop yield, so it is still open to question whether and to what extent it can replace the mineral fertilizer.

Sorghum (\textit{Sorghum bicolor} L.) is an annual diploid species (2\textit{n}=2\textit{x}=20) with a small genome (~730 Mb) and it is an agriculturally important short-day C\textsubscript{4} plants. It is one of the world’s five major food crops together with maize (\textit{Zea mays}), rice (\textit{Oryza sativa}), wheat (\textit{Triticum aestivum}), and barley (\textit{Hordeum vulgare}), and is the staple food for more than 500 million people worldwide (Paterson et al., 2009). Sorghum is a energy crop, highly adaptable to environmental stress. As a C\textsubscript{4} species, it is characterized by high productivity and high efficiency of water and nitrogen use (Garofalo and Rinaldi, 2013; Ikanović et al., 2011), allowing cultivation on arid areas and degraded, salted and marginal soils, with what it excluded the competition for food production on fertile agricultural lands (Lakić et al., 2018). Sweet sorghum can be used for ethanol and biodiesel production while fodder sorghum is appropriate for biogas production in AD process (Ameen et al., 2017; Wānasek et al., 2017).

The circular economy (CE) and the bioeconomy (BE) have become alternative economic production models (EPMs) that are essential to promote sustainable growth and development. The main goal of both models is to achieve a synergy between the economy, the environment, and society (Duque-Acevedo et al., 2020).

The aim of the research was to determine the efficiency of the digestate on the fodder sorghum production on moderately degraded and fertile agricultural land in case of biogas plant in Banat region of Republic of Serbia. Therefore, the present study was carried out to obtain information about the use of digestate in providing sorghum biomass on accessible land that enables sustainable biogas production.
Materials and Methods

Experimental design
The experiments were conducted at two locations in Banat region, Republic of Serbia. L1 - Ilandza (45°16'77" N; 20°92'13" E, 59 alt), calcic gleysol, was chosen because it is nearest the biogas plant which in the AD process uses 80,000 t y⁻¹ of raw material - silage, biomass and manure and it produces, as by product, 16000 t y⁻¹ of digestate. L2 - Dolovo (44°90''80'' N; 20°83''81'' E, 71 alt), chernozem, is located at a distance of about 69 km, which is close to the limit of profitability, from aspect of AD plant raw material. The hybrid of fodder sorghum, "Bulldozer" (KWS), was sown in last ten days of April, with a density of 30 kg ha⁻¹ seed (about 250,000 plants ha⁻¹), after standard, pre-sowing preparation, without applying of mineral fertilizers. Field experiments were placed as a random block system in 10 repetitions on each of the locations, with the size of the basic plots 10m² (5m x 2m) in two variants: 1) without digestate - control (C) and 2) with the digestate (50 t ha⁻¹) brought in into the land just before sowing - digestate (ADD). In both variants, 115 kg N ha⁻¹ (250 kg ha⁻¹ urea with 46% N) were inserted into the soil. Mowing of sorghum was carried out at the beginning of the tassel phase (the second decade of July) with a water content of 28-33%. By the random sample method, it was chosen 10 plants from each plot and their height PH (m) and the numbers of leaves per steam (NL) were measured. The biomass was dried to constant weight and yields of dry biomass (BY) from each elementary plot were measured and converted to t ha⁻¹. Composite samples of sorghum silage obtained from all basic plots per variant were collected each year and locality. The biogas yield (BGY) was measured by VDI 4630 method (Pham et al., 2013) and calculated as Nm³ t⁻¹.

Agrochemical analyses
Soil samples were collected before field experiment establishment by sampling probe as undisturbed soil samples from ten randomly selected points per elementary plot (within a diameter of 3 m, 0-30 cm deep), and were transported in plastics bags as mixed samples about 1 kg (Popović et al., 2020a) and tested soil by Spalević et al. (2020). The soil samples were dried at room temperature and oven at 40 °C before were grinded in a mill. Properties of ADD and/or soil was analysed: moisture content (SPRS ISO 11465-2002), dry matter (SPRS EN 15934), organic matter (after Tyurin), C-org was calculated using factor 1.724 (Nelson and Sommers 1996). The content of N-tot is determined by Kjeldahl method (SPRS ISO 13878-2005) and the content of P₂O₅ and K₂O (AL method by Egner-Riehm), pH and electrical conductivity (EC) were determined according SRPS ISO 10390:2007 and CaCO₃ content by SRPS ISO 10693: 2005 method.

Statistical analysis
Statistica 12 for Windows was used for statistical analyses. All significance estimates were performed based on the F-test and the LSD-test for a level of significance of 5% and 1%. The relative dependence was determined by the correlation analysis method (Pearson correlation coefficients), and the obtained coefficients were tested with the t-test for the significance level of 5% and 1%.

Environmental variables
Climate in Banat is moderately continental. Based on the data of meteorological stations: Pancevo (for locality Dolovo) and Vrsac (for locality Ilandza) in the years in which the researches were carried out, differed from the long-term average characteristic of the area (Table 1).

The average air temperature was lower than the annual average by 2.5 °C in 2016, by 1.0 °C in 2017 and by 0.8 °C in 2018 on L1 locality and by 2.8 °C in 2016, by 0.5 °C in 2017 and by 0.8 °C in 2018 on L2 locality (Table 1).

The tested locations differ in temperature during the vegetative periods, while the precipitation was unevenly distributed in space and time (Table 1). The total amounts of rainfall were above the perennial average in the surveyed growing seasons (2015, 2016 and 2017). Variable and moderately warm weather, with less
precipitation than average, marked June 2016 and 2017. In 2018, precipitation was higher than the multi-year average by 41.1 mm on L1 locality and 48.7 mm on L2 locality (Table 1).

**Soil properties and digestate characteristics**

The investigated soils were neutral, weakly to medium carbonate, with a high content of organic C, moderately nitrogen content, highly supplied with phosphorus and potassium, EC is higher on L1 than on L2, but within acceptable limits (Table 2).

**Table 1.** Weather characteristics of the vegetative period: average monthly air temperature (°C) and precipitation (mm) and perennial average for locations L1 – Ilândza and L2 Dolovo

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Precipitation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Calcic gleysol</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Chernozem</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Calcic gleysol</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Chernozem</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1 Calcic gleysol</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2 Chernozem</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term average</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Agrochemical characteristics of soil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>pH KCl</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;org&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;tot&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;2O5&lt;/sub&gt; (mg 100g&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>K2O (%)</th>
<th>CaCO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</th>
<th>EC (mS cm&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>6.95±0.30</td>
<td>3.62±1.65</td>
<td>0.14±0.04</td>
<td>39.53±0.20</td>
<td>38.01±0.22</td>
<td>2.04±0.46</td>
<td>1.61±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>7.00±0.10</td>
<td>4.02±0.55</td>
<td>0.20±0.02</td>
<td>40.00±0.6</td>
<td>34.13±0.08</td>
<td>2.86±0.12</td>
<td>0.72±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The values presented are average of three chemical repetitions ±SE

Differences between locations were not reflected in the extent to which different soil productivity. The composition of the digestate that was applied is shown in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Agrochemical characteristics of digestate, 2016-2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Digestate</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>Dry matter (%)</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;org&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</th>
<th>N&lt;sub&gt;tot&lt;/sub&gt; (%)</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;Egner&lt;/sub&gt; (g kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>K&lt;sub&gt;Egner&lt;/sub&gt; (g kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>87.31</td>
<td>12.69</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>87.71</td>
<td>12.29</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>87.62</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>87.55</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The dry matter content has not changed significantly because it is primarily due to the digester type. The content of N, P and K was different depending on the raw material used to produce biogas: silage (maize, wheat and sorghum) together with manure (pork and beef manure) in different relationships.
Results

Statistical analyses of sorghum productivity parameters

Impact of the year and ADD, as well as their interaction on the tested properties of sorghum is shown in Table 4. Based on the analysis of variance, it can be concluded that the sorghum plant height very significantly affects locality (Fexp = 14.25 **), variant (Fexp = 12.36 **), and significantly affects year (Fexp = 0.73 *) and interaction VxL (Fexp = 0.83 *), YxL (Fexp = 0.73 *) and LxYxV (Fexp = 1.54 *). On the number of leaves very significantly affects locality (Fexp = 14.26 **), variant (Fexp = 12.38 **), and significantly affected year (Fexp = 0.74 *) while the interaction of the examined factors was not significant.

On the biomass yield of sorghum plants very significantly affects locality (Fexp = 107.12 **), variant (Fexp = 60.34 **) and significantly affects year (Fexp = 7.84 *) and LxYxV interaction (Fexp = 2.27 *). On biogas yield very significantly affects locality (Fexp = 51.10 **), variant (Fexp = 70.82 **), and significantly affects year (Fexp = 70.82 *) and LxYxV interaction (Fexp = 6.52 *), Table 4.

Sorghum biomass yield

The influence of ADD on sorghum parameters (NL, PH, BY) over three years (2016-2018) at two sites is shown in Table 5. Samples are homogeneous (0.64% <CV<21.94%). The number of leaves per plant, C = 10.33 is lower in relation to ADD = 13.28, (p <0.05), the difference is 2.95 (28.56%). Higher plant height was measured on L2 = 2.96 m, compared to L1 = 2.81 m, (p<0.01). ADD amendment showed a significant positive effect: C = 2.81 m and ADD = 2.96 m (p<0.05), the difference is 0.15 m (5.34%).

Biomass yield (DM, first mowing) was the highest in 2018 (41.74 t ha$^{-1}$) on chernozem (L2) with the use of ADD. The yield of biomass is lower C = 38.60 t ha$^{-1}$ in relation to ADD = 39.96 t ha$^{-1}$, the difference is 1.36 t ha$^{-1}$ (3.40%) (p<0.01). In Ilandza, L1 = 37.90 t ha$^{-1}$, the yield of biomass is lower than Dolovo, L2 = 39.96 t ha$^{-1}$ (p>0.01).

### Table 4. Interaction of the variant of the experiment and biomass of sorghum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Y&amp;V</th>
<th>V&amp;L</th>
<th>Y&amp;L</th>
<th>Y&amp;V&amp;L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plant height, PH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test F</td>
<td>12.36**</td>
<td>0.73*</td>
<td>14.25**</td>
<td>0.05**</td>
<td>0.83*</td>
<td>0.73*</td>
<td>1.54*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.108</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>0.119</td>
<td>0.207</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td>0.207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of leaf NL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test F</td>
<td>12.38**</td>
<td>0.74**</td>
<td>14.26**</td>
<td>0.95**</td>
<td>0.37**</td>
<td>0.49**</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>1.454</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>2.056</td>
<td>1.678</td>
<td>2.056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.608</td>
<td>1.969</td>
<td>1.608</td>
<td>2.773</td>
<td>2.274</td>
<td>2.773</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biomass yield, BY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test F</td>
<td>60.37**</td>
<td>7.84*</td>
<td>107.12**</td>
<td>0.16**</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.27**</td>
<td>2.27*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>0.959</td>
<td>0.783</td>
<td>1.356</td>
<td>1.107</td>
<td>1.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td>1.299</td>
<td>1.061</td>
<td>1.837</td>
<td>1.499</td>
<td>1.837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biogas yield, BGY</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test F</td>
<td>70.82**</td>
<td>8.30*</td>
<td>51.10**</td>
<td>0.58**</td>
<td>1.28**</td>
<td>6.52**</td>
<td>0.93**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSD</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.814</td>
<td>3.440</td>
<td>2.814</td>
<td>4.873</td>
<td>3.979</td>
<td>4.874</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V = variant; +/- digestate; Y = year; L = location; BY = biomass yield, t DM ha$^{-1}$; BGY = biogas yield; NL = No of leaves per steam; PH = plant height; T = temperature; P = precipitation. ** Stat. non-significant; * and ** significant at p< 0.05 and p< 0.01.
Sorghum biogas yield

Average biogas yield (BGY) was 147.68Nm$^{3}$ t$^{-1}$, 154.45 Nm$^{3}$ t$^{-1}$ and 151.74 Nm$^{3}$ t$^{-1}$ during 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively.

Table 5. Influence of the locality (L1 Ilandza, calcic gleysol; L2 Dolovo, L2 chernozem) year and digestate on sorghum biomass yield BY (t DM ha$^{-1}$) and yield components, number of leaves per steam NL and plant height PH (average +/- SE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locality</th>
<th>Variant</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
<th>$\bar{x} \pm s_{\bar{x}}$</th>
<th>CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>12.66±5.51</td>
<td>8.66±0.57</td>
<td>9.00±0.07</td>
<td>10.11±3.37</td>
<td>21.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestate</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>12.00±1.00</td>
<td>14.00±1.00</td>
<td>14.01±1.01</td>
<td>13.33±1.32</td>
<td>8.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.33±3.56</td>
<td>11.33±3.01</td>
<td>11.50±2.81</td>
<td>11.72±2.98</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>10.33±0.57</td>
<td>10.66±0.57</td>
<td>10.67±0.57</td>
<td>10.55±0.53</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digestate</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>13.33±0.57</td>
<td>13.66±0.58</td>
<td>12.66±0.57</td>
<td>13.22±0.66</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.83±1.72</td>
<td>12.17±1.72</td>
<td>11.67±1.21</td>
<td>11.89±1.49</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all variants of the experiment, ADD induced an increase in yield that was higher on gleysol than chernozem. In first year of investigation the biogas yield was significantly lower than in following years. Significantly BGY was measured 156.18 Nm$^{3}$ t$^{-1}$ on L2 (chernozem) compared with L1 (calcic gleysol) 146.40
N\textsuperscript{m}^3 t\textsuperscript{1}, (p<0.01) and with digestate 157.10 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 t\textsuperscript{1} compared to control 145.53 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 t\textsuperscript{1} (p<0.05) (Figure 1.). The effect of digestate was 11.52 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 t\textsuperscript{1} (7.92%).

The cultivation site showed a statistically significant effect on biogas yield. Statistically significantly higher biogas yield was measured at the second locality, in Dolovo, L2 = 156.18 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 t\textsuperscript{1}, in relation to the first locality (Ilindza), L1 = 146.40 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 t\textsuperscript{1}, (p <0.01). The LxY factor interaction had a statistically significant effect on biogas yield.

Considering the biomass produced (fresh weight with ~30% water content) there are maximal biogas production 9582 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 ha\textsuperscript{-1} on L2+ADD during 2018, minimal 6859 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 ha\textsuperscript{-1} during 2018 on L1 control. Average BGY for 3 years were 7134, 8440, 8493 and 9503 N\textsuperscript{m}^3 ha\textsuperscript{-1} for Control L1, ADD L1, Control L2 and ADD L2, respectively.

*Sorghum* number of leaves per steam - NL

Average number of leaves per steam (NL) was 12.08, 11.75 and 11.58 during 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively.

In all variants of the experiment, ADD induced an increase in NL that was higher on chernozem than gleysol. Significantly NL was measured 11.89 on L2 (chernozem) compared with L1 (calcic gleysol) 11.72 and with digestate 13.28 compared to control 10.33 (p<0.05) (Figure 1.). The effect of digestate was 12.28%.

*Sorghum* number of plant height - PH

Average number of plant height (PH) was 2.85 m, 2.90 m and 2.90 m during 2016, 2017 and 2018 respectively.

In all variants of the experiment, ADD induced an increase in PH that was higher on chernozem than gleysol. In first year of investigation the PH was lower than in following years. Significantly PH was measured 2.96 m on L2 (chernozem) compared with L1 (calcic gleysol) 2.81 and with digestate 2.96 compared to control 2.81 (p<0.05) (Figure 1.). The effect of digestate was 5.34%.

![Figure 1. Influence of the locality, year and digestate on biogas yield (Nm$^3$ t$^{-1}$)](image)

**Correlations of tested parameters**

The results of the relative dependence of the examined sorghum indicators expressed by the Pearson correlation coefficient are shown in Table 6. Biomass yield was in positive and statistically significant dependence with cultivation locality ($r=0.71^{**}$), biogas yield ($r=0.68^{**}$) and precipitation ($r=0.61^{**}$) and in positive statistically significant dependence with digestate ($r=0.53^{*}$) and plant height ($r=0.59^{*}$), Table 6.
The number of leaves was in a positive, statistically very significant dependence with the fertilization variant ($r=0.64^{**}$) and in a positive statistically significant dependence with the plant height ($r=0.37^*$). Plant height was in a positive statistically significant dependence with biomass yield ($r=0.59^*$), growing locality ($r=0.50^*$), precipitation ($r=0.51^*$), variant ($r=0.46^*$) and number of leaves ($r=0.37^*$). While plant height and number of leaves were negatively correlated with temperatures, but did not show a statistically significant dependence, Table 6.

Biogas yield was in positive, statistically very significant dependence with digestate ($r=0.63^{**}$), biomass yield ($r=0.68^{**}$) and temperatures ($r=0.61^{**}$) and in positive statistically significant dependence with locality ($r=0.53^*$), plant height ($r=0.59^*$) and number of leaf ($r=0.43^*$).

**Discussion**

The concept of the circular economy aims to keep raw materials in a closed loop. Resources are maximally used, the need for new ones is reduced, waste is avoided and the life cycle of products is increased. In short, the waste of today becomes the raw material of tomorrow - the same as in nature. Circular economic production models of the circular economy (CEPMs), which include circular economy, bioeconomy, and circular bioeconomy, are among the main tools characterizing development policies in different countries. The management policies and strategies of the circular bioeconomy are key to promoting research focused on agricultural waste biomass (AWB) valorisation since bioenergy and/or biofuel production continue to be a priority (Duque-Acevedo et al., 2020).

**Effect of locations and digestate from sorghum production**

Slurry of AD process, digestate, has some beneficial properties and its proper exploitation could bring some advances to farmers (increase of crops yield), environment (better N usage efficiency) as well as biogas plant owner (disposition of large amounts of by-product) (Przygocka-Cyna and Grzebisz, 2020). Solid fraction of digestate can be directly applied into the field or composted and used as soil amendment which can be 2 to 3 times cheaper for the farmer than the fertilizer produced industrially. The lack of arable lands in the area surrounding the biogas plant is a real problem (Prask et al., 2018). In case of Republic of Serbia, there are only few biogas producing plants that began to work in the last five years, placed near significant areas of arable land. These plants can produce biomass for silage using digestate from AD process as fertilizer. In case of Ilandza there are 480 ha partially degraded arable land owned and 300 ha leased, close to AD reactor, on which sorghum production were compared with highly productive chernozem in Dolovo, with moderate distance. Transport distance is limited to a 50 km for feedstocks having high (~ 70% DM) and to 10 km for feedstock with low dry matter content, such as slurry (< 10% DM) for economics (Scarlat et al., 2018). In the immediate vicinity there are farms with which the power plant supplies manure. This manure has been applied at soil as bio-fertilizer before the biogas plant started potentially inducing large emissions of CH$_4$.

Climate change has the potential to both positively and negatively affect the location, timing, and productivity of crop at local, national and global scales (Ikanović et al., 2018; Rakaščan et al., 2019; Rajičić et al., 2020a; Popović et al., 2019; 2020a; 2020b; Milanović et al., 2020).
Sorghum biomass yield

The production of agri-energy crops on degraded or marginal soils with the use of a by-product from the energy conversion process enables a long-term convergence of SDGs. A challenge is the production of agri-energy crops on marginal land, when the site is closest to the biogas plant as is the case in Ilandza (L1). Over the past 60 years, intensive crop production with inadequate management (contempt of crop rotation, excessive fertilization with mineral fertilizers, over-watering of water with elevated salt content) has led to changes in the physical and chemical properties of the soil and to its salinity. Since the sorghum is suitable for such land (Lakic et al., 2018) and that it allows its remediation (Gelaye et al., 2019), it was selected for experiment.

Biochemical properties of digestate mostly depend on feedstock and the type - configuration of reactor (Przygocka-Cyna and Grzebisz, 2018). Organic matter content and relative rations C/N are the main indicator that defines the status of soil amendment (Nkoa, 2014). In presented field experiment, with ADD application, about 200 kg of C-ha\(^{-1}\) was brought into the soil, 27 kg N ha\(^{-1}\), 1.6 kg P ha\(^{-1}\) and 9.8 kg K ha\(^{-1}\). Added quantities N, P and K are significantly lower than recommended for KWS sorghum hybrids. According to microbial degradability digestate can slowly release a high available nutrient content (N, P, K) (Tambone et al., 2010), which positive effects on the crop development (Mortola et al., 2019). It has recently been proven that the application of digestate in the production of corn for silage provides the same crop yield as the application of urea with the same nitrogen content, while emitting 23% more nitrogen oxides and 66% less ammonium when using digestate compared to urea (Verdi et al., 2019).

Biomass development, which was monitored through the usual attributes of plant height and number of leaves per tree at the examined locations, indicates that agroecological conditions were favorable for sorghum development so that yields exceeding average, even partially deradicated soil were achieved. Comparison of the obtained results (maximal biomass yield 41.74 t DM ha\(^{-1}\) on chernozem and 39.18 t DM ha\(^{-1}\) on gleysol with digestate) with the published is difficult because it is about different sorghum genotypes, different types of soil and climatic conditions. However, the achieved yields of biomass are in the rank of yield in Serbia, which were obtained under similar conditions, with the application of manure 25 t ha\(^{-1}\) + 70 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) + 120-140 kg P ha\(^{-1}\) + 120-140 kg K ha\(^{-1}\) (Jankovic et al., 2017) and higher than reported by Wannasek et al. (2017): DM biomass yield ranged between 15.7 and 20.67 t ha\(^{-1}\) when sorghum was grown as main crop, which concludes that sorghum can be used as side but also the main crop for biogas production. The impact of ADD on the biomass increase in three agri-energy crops, Sida hermaphrodita, Zea mays L. and Medicago sativa L. and the production of biogas, was the same as with the application of the recommended agricultural doses of NPK fertilizers (Barbosa et al., 2014).

For sustainable agriculture, an important parameter is the production of biogas per unit area of land used for the production of silage crops. Maize FAO group 300-600 allows the production of 7,500-10,200 Nm\(^3\) ha\(^{-1}\), while other cereals are in the range of 3,200-4,500 Nm\(^3\) ha\(^{-1}\) (Amon et al., 2007). In presented field experiment biogas production reach 9582 Nm\(^3\) ha\(^{-1}\) on chernozem with digestate amendment. The results are in accordance to results reported (De Meester et al., 2004; Zegada-Lizarazu and Monty, 2011) that mentioned that the maize silage is the best source of feedstock for biogas production according to energy efficiency, but it could be replaced by sorghum especially on partially degraded saline soils or in arid areas (Mekdad and Rady, 2016; Mahmood et al., 2015) due to its drought tolerance, easiness of cultivation and high yield. Maize-based silage has greater environmental impacts than sorghum due to more intensive cultivation, but manure-based AD process has lower impact than energy crops in reducing GHG emissions (Agostini et al., 2015).

Sorghum biogas yield

Biogas yield depends on sorghum cultivar, climate and pedological characteristics, silage composition and biodegradation process. Obtained results are in range expected according to literature data (Mahmood et al., 2013; Hassan et al., 2018; Habyarimana et al., 2019). The yield of biogas produced from sorghum silage was higher from plots on chernozem than on gleysol, except in 2017 when they were equal. In all variants with
the use of digestate, higher biogas yields were achieved in relation to the control, which indicates that ADD had a positive effect not only on the yield of sorghum biomass but also on its quality.

As an alternative nutrient source, ADD contributes to the mitigation of climate change through the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, both directly (Hao et al., 2016) and indirectly through the production of nitrogenous mineral fertilizers. A system integrating production of biogas and electricity from renewable sources as agro-energy crops (silage sorghum) together with animal husbandry waste (manure) with production of this silage on marginal land using digestate from AD process as soil amendment leading to approaching low carbon agriculture and circular economy by recycling nutrients (Głab et al., 2019).

Conclusions

The use of digestate as fertilizer on land on which the agri-energy crop is dedicated produced as raw materials for energy conversion in the process of anaerobic digestion leads to the decarbonisation of agriculture, the use of renewable energy sources and increasing the profitability of the biogas power plant. Amounts of the biomass and biogas produced in field experiment indicated that sorghum is suitable raw material for the co-digestion with manure. Obtained results indicated a strong positive effect of ADD on forage sorghum biomass development, especially number of leaves, booth on calcic gleysol and chernozem type of soil. Biomass yield of sorghum produced with digestate amendment reach amounts reported for sorghum produced with application of manure+mineral fertilizer. Biogas yield produced from sorghum silage is close to amounts reported for maize.

Future investigations of soil physical, chemical and biological properties of soil are necessary for explanation of more pronounced digestate amendment effect on fertile than on partially degraded soil.
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