Biological Control of *Cryphonectria parasitica* in Romanian Protected Sweet Chestnut Forests
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Abstract

Asiatic fungus *Cryphonectria parasitica* has gradually infected all chestnut habitats, forest and horticulture plantations from the most important natural centres of sweet chestnut distribution in Romania. The objectives of this work were to understand the destruction rate of chestnut habitats under *C. parasitica* pressure, and to test the efficacy of pathogen control in revitalizing these protected forests. Successive inventories of forest health status were carried out in forest districts of Maramures and Gorj counties. *C. parasitica* biologic control using CHV1 virus has been tested. The methodology includes standard laboratory and field work techniques: local hypervirulent strain identification and conversion to hypovirulence, field canker inoculation, and treatment efficacy evaluation. The fungus killed all mature chestnut trees in roughly two decades. Field inoculation has been successful on chestnut and sessile oak (fungus secondary host) in experimental plots from both target zones. This is the first time in Romania when a forest habitat is recovered by means of biological control, after a severe dieback of the old forest caused by a lethal invasive pathogen.
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Introduction

*Castanea sativa* naturally occurs in the forests of southern Europe (Conedera et al., 2004). Fossil leaves of *Castanea* (C. cf. *sativa*, C. cf. *kubinyii*, C. *pliosativa*, C. cf. *crenata*) dated in the Pliocene were found in many places in Romania, particularly in the north-west corner, in the volcanic chain of the Carpathians, in the same area where chestnut is present today (Givulescu, 1990; Macovei and Givulescu, 2006). In the Plio-Pleistocene chestnut diminished its European area (Mai, 1995; Svenning, 2003) and during the latest glaciation sweet chestnut was found in some refugia in southern Europe, including south Bulgaria and probable south Dobrudja (Kreds et al., 2004). Currently, sweet chestnut forests are scattered in many small areas (without clear connections among them) in the western half of Romania, with two nuclei: Gorj County (south-west) and Maramureş County (north-west), which are mentioned in medieval records (XIVth and XVIth century, respectively). In agreement with its long history and vigour, chestnut habitat was considered natural or at least (re)naturalised in these core centres in Romanian habitat taxonomy (Soó, 1970; Bolea, 1975; Doniță and Biris, 2005). Sweet chestnut (Low 348 / 2003) and its forest habitats (Natura 2000 sites, natural reserves) are protected in Romania due to its rarity, economical and historical value (it is one of the medieval symbols of Baia Mare city, capital of Maramureş County).

*Cryphonectria parasitica* is one of the most damaging invasive fungi, destroying the forests and orchards of both American and European (sweet) chestnut (Anagnostakis et al., 1988; Robin and Heiniger, 2001). In Romania, due to the discontinuous area, chestnut blight was identified only 1984 in the experimental cultures of the Pomiculture
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Materials and Methods

Description of the study site
Field work was carried out in the forest districts of Baia Mare, Firiza, Baia Sprie, Tăuții Măgherăuș (Maramureș County, NW Romania), and Tismana (Gorj County, SW Romania), which are the main areas with chestnut forests in Romania (Fig. 1). The local characteristics are rather similar: piedmonts of 300-500 m elevation covered by broadleaved forests (on the limit between sessile oak and European beech levels), with annual average temperature 9-9.5 °C, annual average precipitation 950-1000 mm.

Experimental design
Sanitary condition of chestnut forests was described in inventories carried out in all the representative stands of Maramureș (57 subparcels) and Gorj (59 subparcels). Minimum of 25-50 trees/stand, according to chestnut proportion, have been analysed using transverse routes.

Biological control of C. parasitica based on CHV1 mycovirus was tested in 2004-2009 (Maramureș) and 2012-2016 (Gorj). The healing treatment intensity in experimental plots was 50 trees (sprouts) / ha × 3 years consecutively in Maramureș (S1 – Baia Mare F.D., unit I, compartment 46E; S2 – Tăuții Măgherăuș, I, 1C; S3 – Baia Mare, I, 110E), respectively 150 trees (sprouts) / ha × 3 years in Gorj (S1 – Tismana, IV, 1C; S2 - Tismana, V, 44F). Treatments were tested on chestnut sprouts occurred after the previous tree generation has been killed by the aggressive fungus. For control, health status was evaluated in stands (Baia Mare/Firiza I 78, 85, 86, 98; Tismana IV 45, V 2, 38) where no inoculations have been made. Many other forest stands were just subject of fungus inoculation or virus spreading (25 trees / ha × 1 year) according to the number of available trees, administrative problems, budget etc.

Experimental procedures
Tree condition was described according to the most important disease symptoms (bark infection presence, number of water sprouts, canker size, rate of dead crown and tree) and tree size (diameter and social class). Tree health status was evaluated according to ICP Forests methodology: 0 – healthy tree (with crown defoliation of 0-10%), 1 – slightly affected (15-25%), 2 and 3 – moderately affected (with 30-45%, respectively 50-60% defoliation), 4 – severely affected (65-95%), 5 – 100% dead (ICP Forests Manual, 2006). Brest diameter (DBH) and social position has been also recorded in order to evaluate the trees / sprouts susceptibility to chestnut blight in different development stages.

The data describing the sanitary condition of chestnut forests before the treatments were collected in 2002 in Maramureș and 2012 in Gorj. The test results of C. parasitica biological control (100-150 sprouts / stand) were evaluated in 2012 and 2016 in Maramureș and 2016 in Gorj. Basic data analyse and interpretation have been used.

Results

Dying process
In 2002, 26.3% of the total of 57 inventoried stands (generally situated on the edge of the local chestnut area) were apparently not affected by the new disease. On the other hand, 21.1% were highly infected (blight frequency over 50%), and 14.0% were already dead or under advanced dying process. The fungus highly infected whole chestnut forests (130 stands, covering 956.4 ha). All chestnut stands between 45 to 180 years were dead, the old trees (diameter > 28 cm) have not survived, i.e. all crown and most part of the trunk have been killed, even if the tree was still living through the root sprouts and basal shoots (up to 6 m height on the trunk). After sanitary or salvage cuttings, chestnut forests have survived through the new generation of sprouts (vegetative regeneration), the thinner sprouts (< 3 cm) being generally not infected (Fig. 2). The pathogen also highly affected young forests, successively killing the most vigorous and developed sprouts, which re-sprout again.

In the south-western area (Forest District of Tismana and its neighbouring zones), disease dynamic was similar to NW forests, but with a small delay. Most first infections
were probably from the 1990s (undetected or minimalized); in 2004 the invasive pathogen has already killed the experimental cultures of the Pomiculture Research Station of Tg. Jiu (working point of Gureni), and the first forest outbreaks were detected (generally the forest were healthier comparing to those of Maramureș in 2002). In 2012-2013 all the forests were highly infected, some of them (including Pocruiu and Eroni Chestnut Reserves) being already dead.

The conservation status of the chestnut ecosystems (habitat) in the protected areas before the inoculations was unfavourable bad (totally inadequate) (Combroux and Schooerer, 2007). The phenomenon was similar for both core-zone of Maramureș (Natura 2000 sites ROSCI 0003 and Natural Reserve 2581 “Chestnut forests of Baia Mare”) and Gorj (ROSCI0129 North Western Gorj and the chestnut natural reserves “Pocruiu”). All parameters were dramatically changed:

-Chestnut range is very small in Romania (3160 ha), being distributed in small woody islands, where the habitat conservation in the new stress conditions is a challenge.

-Chestnut area have been continuously diminishing: in the majority of cases chestnut proportion in the stands have been gradually decreasing, species had even disappeared from stand composition (it only remains as part of biodiversity) in some young to middle aged mixed forests of beech, hornbeam and (up to 20-30%) chestnut.

-Forest structure and functions have been seriously degraded by the successive mass dieback of the key species in chestnut protected areas.

-Future prospects for chestnut forests were very pessimist, and the protected species are in serious danger of becoming extinct without urgent actions: biological control of the causing agent, increasing chestnut plantation, revitalisation of fruit orchards, implementation of suitable forest and orchard management, (re)raising alertness about chestnut culture and use.

Healing evolution

Biological control of C. parasitica based on CHV1 mycovirus was first tested in 2004-2008 in Maramureș. The EU12 strain has been proved to be an almost exclusive strain; EU13 was only present in a single zone (Tăuți Magherău F.D.), situated close to the first identification of the pathogen. The potential of this method has been proved in three experimental plots, first by healing the inoculated (infection development stops in the first year) and un-treated cankers (with a clear onset starting the third year). These plots have been successively checked in 2012 and 2016, the new generations of sprouts being significantly healthier in all cases, while the untreated stands were devastated (Fig. 3).

The healing process is certified by the large majority of trees that has survived in treated plots, compared to severe loss of trees in control stands. Finally, treated stands have 79-81% healthy to relative healthy (class 0-2) trees / sprouts while the un-treated stands have just 20% plants still not affected by intense dying phenomenon. In inoculated stands, healthier trees (class 0-2) varied from 64% (on smallest diameters - DBH - and dominated trees) to 95% (largest trees), while in un-treated stands just 4% (>28cm DBH) to 35% (<9 cm DBH) from trees are relative in good health standard (Fig. 3). Dying process was the most intense in dominated sprouts in the healed plots (Table 1).

Sessile oak (Quercus petraea) cankers (present in S2) also produced by C. parasitica were successfully healed as well (all trees were in health class 0-1). Generally very few (all dominated) trees have died, large trees suffering just cankers or some branch loss. Several trees of European hornbeam (Carpinus betulus) have had numerous stem cankers in S1, but their crown condition was very good.

From 37 treated stands in Baia Mare F.D. the three experimental plots were advanced healed, other 18 stands moderate healed and 16 just inoculated (too old to be treated, few suitable trees were inoculated) (Figs. 4 and 5).

![Figure 2. Chestnut blight intensity (0-healthy ... 5-dead tree) one year after sanitary cuttings (BM I 46E)](image)

![Figure 3. Chestnut blight (0-healthy ... 5-dead trees) after biological treatment of young stands (Pots: S1, S2, and Control)](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>S1</th>
<th>S2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>KSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter (D)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kraft SP (KSP)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defoliation (Def)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Relationship among defoliation and tree social position and diameter in treated plots in Maramureș
Based on previous experience, in 2012-2016 the field inoculation was focused on re-establishing the conservation status of protected areas (across both core zones). More intense biological treatments were used in experimental plots of Tismana F.D., in order to urge the healing process. In order to spread the virus as much as possible, a smaller number of trees have been inoculated in stands with less suitable vegetation (old tall trees, low percentage of chestnut). Only EU12 strain has been identified in the controlled zone.

Intensive treatment (every first to third tree or one tree for each bush of stool-shoots for each stand) lead to a rapid healing of the entire stand (the large majority of trees showed infection regression and healing of non-inoculated cankers starting the year after biological control). This was possible in young dense regenerations (coppice) or plantations where chestnut was the dominant species. Before the treatment all the stands were severely infected by *C. parasitica* in Tismana F.D. (and surrounding forest vegetation). In un-treated stands 72% of trees were severely infected (class 3-5), and only the youngest generation (<10 cm DBH) was rather healthier (70% in class 0-2); the majority of trees between 10 and 150 cm DBH were dying or already dead (Fig. 6). In experimental plots, inoculation preserved the majority of sprouts (73-86% in healthy class 0-2), the lost being predominant in smaller dominated category (35-40%), comparing to largest ones (6-22%) (Fig. 6).

In Tismana area the conservation actions (intensive biological treatments) had general similar results to Baia Mare: 4 advanced and 12 medium cured stands, other 21 subparcels being just inoculated for virus spreading. Some unconventional methods have been introduced:

- Inoculation of few individuals, just for virus spreading in large areas where the proper treatment was not possible. The method has worked, and some healed trees were found 5-10 years after inoculation in many isolated stands (Figs. 4-5).
- Treatment of the very big cankers (50-80% of circumference) to increase the time of releasing the virus. A satisfactory low percentage of failing (dead of treated exemplars) was found.
- Treatment without creating the hipovirulent barrier surrounding the cankers, where their position was very low.
(on the collar, with one part in contact with the soil) or nearby a principal axe (to stop the development in that direction, with the top of the branch or forked stem already being compromised). Both ways worked successfully (there was no difference to the classic approach).

- Inoculation of healthy bark (thin to very thick) where other method was not available. The holes were rapidly covered, so we have to test again to understand whether the method is feasible or not.

Discussion

Dying process

Experimental fruit and forest plots were the first affected by the chestnut blight in both NW and SW zones. In the 1970’s and 1980’s communist period, chestnut (nuts or plants) was never an item of import in Romania, although some rare scientific changes of materials or individual private initiative were possible. That suggests the hypothesis of accidental introduction (Chira et al., 2003) may be realistic, additional to the possibility of natural spreading of the fungus from the western Carpathians (SE Slovakia, N Hungary) to eastern and southern chains (NW then SW Romania), despite the very long distance from the Hungarian plantations (Radocz, 2001). This situation have changed in the last decades; Chinese chestnuts became frequent in commercial malls and seedling imports (especially from Hungary), creating the opportunity for new entries of diverse strains of *C. parasitica* in Romania.

*Cryptocentria parasitica* took almost two decades to spread and three decades to kill all the chestnut trees and stands (first infected generation) and orchards in a region of approximately 50 x 10 km surface. Almost no resistance of chestnut trees to *C. parasitica* was found until now, although many varieties and morphological forms were described in Baia Mare (Bolea, 1975), and two different genotypes were found in Romania (Damian, 2016). A couple of trees from Tismana have shown excellent heath, future research being necessary to confirm their possible tolerance to the pathogen. Disease spreading was favoured by the Romanian silviculture: chestnut (being a very rare species) is managed according to oak and beech rotation (high forest system with over 100 years’ rotation); in highly protected areas the regular cuttings are generally forbidden, and special approvals used to take time to be obtained, while in the meantime the wood quality was decreasing. A relatively similar situation is found only in other former communist countries (Conedera et al., 2004; Velichkov et al., 2010; Zlatanov et al., 2012). The delay of harvesting the dead forests led to a late vegetative regeneration of the stands that reduced the possibilities for chestnut proper resistance (through sprouts) to the invasive fungus.

The pathogen highly threatened the conservation status of this protected species and its habitat, which is considered to have a very high conservation value in Romania. In the national taxonomy, chestnut is a key species of the ecosystem R4141: Dacian-Balkan forest of sessile oak with chestnut and *Genista tinctorial,* and *Castaneo-Quercettum* in the vegetative association, Horvat 1938. This corresponds to Palearctic 41.57331 Pre-Carpathian chestnut sessile oak forest and Natura 2000 9260 *Castanea sativa* woods (Doniță and Biriş, 2005). Bolea (1975) described several forest types dominated by or containing the sweet chestnut in Maramureş.

Healing evolution

The presence of EU12 strain of *C. parasitica* is characteristic to southern and south-eastern Europe (Heiniger and Rigling, 1994; Sotirovski et al., 2004; Perlerou and Diamandis 2006; Georgieva et al., 2013); however, its almost total domination (EU13 is very rare, and occurs only in Maramureş) is justified by its relatively new occurrence (one of the most recent in Europe) and probably from few accidental entries (Radocz, 2001). Similar results have been recorded in the same zones, both on chestnut and sessile oak (Radocz, 2001; Tarcali, 2007; Adamčíková et al. 2015; Górcsös et al., 2015).

The clear positive results of the pathogen treatment using CHV1 virus is typical for EU12 strain (Rigling et al., 2017), especially in the absence of the other strains. Healing a young tree is proved to be relatively easy and quick (in the same year infection stops to develop). Manually treating a stand is, however, hard and demanding, further the spread of the virus by natural pathways after the treatment took minimum two years (if treatment is very intense) to see clear results. All the little adjustments of classic field methodology seem to have interesting results, although quantitative tests need to be carried out to show their role in spreading the virus and reducing the mass infections.

The intensive treatment of infected trees was very efficient both considering ecological (in relatively young regeneration) and economical outcomes (in zones with low price of manual works).

An average loss of 16-21% of sprouts after the biological treatment of *C. parasitica* is considered normal in chestnut coppices, especially those where the strain EU12 is dominant (Sotirovski et al., 2004; Heiniger and Rigling, 2009; Perlerou and Diamandis, 2010). The higher critical mass of virulent infections of *C. parasitica* before the treatment in Baia Mare and Tismana may also explain these good results of biological control with hipovirulent strains (Griffin, 1986). The presence in Baia Mare zone of the EU13 strain and especially the uncontrolled new entries of (chest)nuts and seedlings may threaten the fragile balance established through the biological control of *C. parasitica* in protected chestnut areas from Romania, similarly to disease dynamics in central and western Europe (Cortesi and Milgroom, 1998; Robin et al., 2010; Bryner and Rigling, 2012; Zamora et al., 2012; Bryner et al., 2014; Feau et al., 2014).

Differentiation between the effects of natural competition and infection process in dying trees is not easy to be understood. In stands affected for a long period by the hipervirulent strains of *C. parasitica,* only the very young chestnut sprouts are relative healthy, while in healed (hipovirulent) chestnut stands, younger sprouts are first to succumb due to intense competitions on basic resources in dense coppices (Zlatanov et al., 2012).

Saving a protected rare woody species and recovering some protected forest ecosystem from unfavourable bad conservation status caused by an invasive pathogen represent a first across Romanian territory.
Conclusions

In 30 years of occurrence in Romania Cryphonectria parasitica conquered all the chestnut area, ruining the fruit orchards and seriously threatening the protected forest ecosystems. All middle age to old trees have been killed. Several campaigns for the biological control of the invasive fungus in young chestnut regeneration have had very promising results. A good part of treated chestnut protected forest had a remarkable evolution. Intensive treatments have rapidly controlled the disease, and low intensive inoculation was used to spread the virus in unsuitable stands for canker treatment. This is the first time in Romania that a part of a forest habitat is recovered by means of biological control, after a severe dieback of the old forest caused by a lethal invasive pathogen.
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