

Assessment of Combining Ability Effects for Several Yield and Quality Traits in a Complete Diallel Cross of Strawberry (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.)

Sorin CIULCA*, Natalia CARP, Emilian MADOȘA, Adriana CIULCA, Radu ȘUMĂLAN

Banat's University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine "King Michael I of Romania" Timisoara, Faculty of Horticulture and Forestry, 119 Calea Aradului, 300365, Timisoara, Romania; c_i_sorin@yahoo.com (*corresponding author); c_naty_ivy@yahoo.com; madosae@yahoo.com; adrianaciulca@gmail.com; sumalanagro@yahoo.com.

Abstract

Information on the inheritance of yield and quality traits is important for the selection of parents and breeding approaches to be adopted for the improvement of strawberry. The present study aimed to estimate the combining ability and gene effects for plant yield, fruits number/plant, fruit weight, pulp firmness and sugar content of strawberries in order to identify the best genitors and promising crosses, in 30 hybrids of six parents. The additive and non-additive gene action as well as the maternal effects was involved in the inheritance of the studied traits. For all traits, especially for fruit weight and plant yield, the additive gene action was more important than the non-additive one. The parents 'AI' and 'Alba' showed a higher concentration of favourable alleles for plant yield and fruits number, and they will allow the increase of plant yield when used as a donor of pollen and the increase of fruit number when used as a recipient of pollen. The variety 'Marmolada' was a good general combiner for sugar content, pulp firmness and fruit weight, especially when used as a female genitor. These parents could be used in hybridization in order to accelerate the genetic improvement of some yield and quality traits in strawberries. The cross 'Mira' × 'Onda' expressed desirable specific combining ability effects for yield traits and can be successfully use in strawberries breeding programs. In the case of 'Alba' × 'Clery' there is a high probability to select progenies with valuable yield traits associated with sweet fruits.

Keywords: GCA, SCA, inheritance quantitative traits, strawberry breeding

Introduction

Strawberries (*Fragaria × ananassa* Duch.) are cultivated worldwide for their fruit, and are widely appreciated for their characteristic aroma and sweetness. The production and consumption of strawberries is increasing because of their food value (Ara *et al.*, 2009) and currently strawberries comprise more than 500 commercial cultivars grown worldwide (Hancock, 1999).

In strawberry production, fruit quality and yield depend on many factors, e.g. the cultivar, weather conditions during the growing season and above all agronomic practices (Paszko *et al.*, 2014). The yield ability in strawberries is a very complex trait that relies on the influence and contribution of several components, such as number and size of fruits, plant vigour, hardiness, and disease resistance of the plant (Hancock *et al.*, 2008). The number of fruits is

dependent on flower formation and development. In this regard phytohormones such as auxin and cytokinins have been shown to play an important role in floral induction and differentiation (Hou and Huang, 2005; Eshghi and Tafazoli, 2007). The size of the fruit is controlled by the dimension of the receptacle and number of achenes. The plants with large fruits have bigger leaves, a larger photosynthetic area, and thicker petioles and flower stalks (Hortyński *et al.*, 1991). These components must be combined in order to ensure higher levels of yield and a high amount of marketable fruits (Carp and Ciulca, 2016).

Fruit firmness is one of the most important quality traits of strawberry negative correlated with flavor emission (Salentijn *et al.*, 2003), and positive correlated with time of flowering and fruit glossiness (Ukalska *et al.*, 2006). This trait is highly variable and affected by genetic background, growing conditions, degree of ripeness, post-harvest handling, internal temperature etc. (Doving *et al.*, 2005).

Considering that glucose, fructose and sucrose are the main sugars in strawberry fruits, Shaw (1988) reported small differences in total sugars, but a significant genotypic variation in the content of sucrose, glucose and fructose in his breeding population. Fruit sweetness is slightly correlated with skin and flesh colour (Shaw, 1991).

Strawberry yield and quality traits are polygenic inherited and greatly influenced by environmental condition. Strawberries productivity and quality are commonly limited by several environmental factors including: heat and drought, salinity, winter cold, spring frosts and insufficient chilling hours (Hancock *et al.*, 2008). It was estimated that abiotic stress conditions can potentially reduce the yield of strawberry plants by more than 50% (Vij and Tyagi, 2007). Considering that the yield traits are often associated with low quality of fruits, the genotypes rarely associate production efficiency and sensorial quality with the nutritional values of fruit, probably because the most breeding programmes consider nutritional characters as a minor priority (Capocasa *et al.*, 2008).

The efficiency of a breeding program depends mostly by the selection of the best parents in order to improve different traits. The analysis of general combining ability (GCA) and specific combining ability (SCA) effects is a useful tool in the selection of the best parents and superior hybrids. The differences in the GCA are mainly due to the additive genetic effects and higher order additive interactions, while the differences in SCA are attributed to the non-additive effects, dominance and different types of epistasis (Falconer, 1989). If both the GCA and SCA values are not significant, epistatic gene effects may play an important role in determining different traits (Fehr, 1993). The most promising hybrids are those that coming from the crossing of divergent parents, where at least one of them presented high GCA (Cruz and Vencovsky, 1989).

The diallel mating design can be used to estimate GCA, SCA and heritability for a trait in a population derived from fixed, or non-random parents (Hill *et al.*, 1998; Hakizimana *et al.*, 2004). The analysis of diallel cross by the method of Griffing (1956b) which partition the total genetic variation into GCA of the parents and SCA of the crosses have been widely used in strawberry breeding (Daubeny, 1961; Hsu *et al.*, 1969; Spangelo *et al.*, 1971; MacLachlan, 1978; McNicol and Gooding, 1979; Simpson, 1987; Fort and Shaw, 2000; Davik and Honne, 2005; Masny *et al.*, 2005; Bestfleisch *et al.*, 2014; Mathey *et al.*, 2014; Masny *et al.*, 2014a; Masny *et al.*, 2014b; Masny *et al.*, 2016; Kaczmarek *et al.*, 2017; Mathey *et al.*, 2017).

The availability of genetic diversity for any given crop will enhance the improvement of different traits (Connor *et al.*, 2005). The hybridization is an option to extend this improvement but it is related to the knowledge of the inheritance of these traits for different genitors. Because the information about the gene effects for different traits from one set of parents could not be used to other parents, it is necessary to carry out evaluations for each potential genitor.

The present research aimed to estimate the combining ability and gene effects for plant yield, fruits number/plant, fruit weight, pulp firmness and sugar content of strawberries in order to identify the best genitors and the promising

crosses, in 30 hybrids of six parents. The obtained results will be useful for the effectiveness of breeding programs in order to develop potential productive strawberries cultivars with marketable fruits.

Materials and Methods

Biological material and experimental design

The biological material consisted of 30 hybrids resulting from a complete diallel cross between six strawberry genotypes (selection 'A1' provided from Romania; 'Mira' provided from Canada; 'Alba', 'Clery', 'Marmolada' and 'Onda' provided from Italy). The selection of the parents was made according with their ripening period, yield traits, sugar content and pulp firmness of the fruits. These parents originating from different countries were chosen to suite the statistical model for this study, and were crossed in 2014.

After the extraction from the fruits the hybrid seeds have been preserved at -15 °C for two months, and kept at 6 °C. The germination was carried out in a Wise Cube WGC-450 Incubator (Witeg Labortechnik GmbH, Germany), under controlled temperature, light and humidity conditions. The hybrids seedlings of 3-4 cm high were transplanted in pots and grown in greenhouse. All hybrids and their parents were planted in the spring of 2015 using a randomized block design with four replications. The experience was conducted on an alluvial soil in Topolovățul Mare (lat. 45°45'47.13"N; long. 21°38'24.73"E) from Timis County (Romania), using plots of three rows with 25 plants (75 plants per plot) grown at 1 × 0.20 m.

During the growing season the classic technological works were applied (for organic farming) represented by the following measures: weed control and soil loosening by manually tilling between the plants and mechanized cultivation between rows, applying straw mulch, removal of runners during fructification, manual weeding, foliar calcium application (prevention of mildew). The crop was not irrigated and no chemical fertilizers were applied.

The climatic factors for the site location were: the average yearly temperature was around 10.7 °C with a mean annual rainfall of 550-600 mm. During the research period the temperatures were 1-2 °C above the average, associated with a sum of precipitation (from March to June) by 177.3 mm in 2014 and 142.4 in 2015.

Yield and quality traits

Measurements regarding the number of harvested fruits, fruit weight (g) and plant yield (g) were carried out using 10 plants per plot for each genotype. In order to assess the variation in the plant yield during the crop period, the harvest was carried out in five stages of 5 days intervals. A ripe fruit has been considered only the fruit that had a red uniform colour without paler or white areas.

At the full ripening time, from each plot 10 fruits were selected in order to evaluate the sugar content (°Brix) using DR201-95 (KRUS, Germany) refractometer and pulp firmness (N) with PCE-FM200 (PCE Holding GmbH, Germany) dynamometer.

Statistical analysis

Combining ability analysis was carried out using method 1 (p parents and $p(p-1)$ hybrids), model 1 (the model with

fixed-effects, the biological material is considered as a population about to be inferred) as suggested by Griffing (1956a, 1956b).

According to the ANOVA, the genotypic variance was partitioned into different components, including replication, GCA, SCA and reciprocal. The principles of this method are based on the following formula:

$$x_{ij} = u + g_i + g_j + s_{ij} + r_{ij} + \frac{1}{bc} \sum_k \sum_l e_{ijkl}$$

Griffing (1956b)

u - population mean; g_i (g_j) - effect of general combining ability for parent i (j); s_{ij} - effect of specific combining ability for hybrid between parent i and j ; r_{ij} - reciprocal effect involving the reciprocal crosses between parent i and j ; e_{ijkl} - environment effect associated with individual values; b - number of replications; c is - number of samples per replication; $k = 1 \dots b$; $l = 1 \dots c$.

Results

Performance of the parents and crosses

The highest variation among the parents and among the crosses was recorded for pulp firmness, while the lowest

variability between the parents was registered for fruit weight, and for sugar content among crosses, respectively (Table 1).

The fruit weight ranged from 14.68 in ‘Onda’ × ‘Clery’ to 32.05 in cross ‘A1’ × ‘Marmolada’, considering that the mean of crosses (22.82) was higher than the parents one (18.40). The cross ‘Clery’ × ‘Alba’ showed the highest fruits number (37.15) followed by ‘Mira’ (35.15) and ‘Alba’ (35.03) varieties. Generally, the mean number of fruits per plant was higher for parents (30.52) to the crosses (24.28). The highest plant yield among parents was noted in ‘Mira’ (707 g) and ‘Alba’ (683 g), while among crosses it was noted in ‘Marmolada’ × ‘Alba’ (777 g) and ‘A1’ × ‘Marmolada’ (725 g). The difference between the mean yield of parents (559 g) and crosses (546 g) was small. Among the parents the highest sugar content was noticed in fruits of selection ‘A1’ (9.35 °Brix) while among crosses it was noted in ‘A1’ × ‘Onda’ (10.33 °Brix) and ‘Marmolada’ × ‘Onda’ (10.11 °Brix). The sugar content of the crosses was higher to the parents except for the hybrids of selection ‘A1’. The variety Marmolada had the firmness fruits followed by the crosses ‘Alba’ × ‘Mira’ and ‘Mira’ × ‘Marmolada’. For all crosses the pulp firmness of the parents was superior to the hybrids.

Table 1. Mean values of five traits for six parents and 30 F₁ strawberries diallel crosses

Genotype	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit number/plant	Plant yield (g)	Sugar content (°Brix)	Pulp firmness (N)
‘A1’	19.10a	25.03b	476c	9.35a	2.17d
‘Mira’	20.15a	35.15a	707a	6.50c	3.00b
‘Alba’	19.53a	35.03a	683a	7.20bc	2.69bc
‘Marmolada’	18.63a	28.10b	521b	7.80b	3.51a
‘Clery’	15.08b	32.93a	493bc	7.98b	2.62bc
‘Onda’	17.95a	26.90b	466c	6.05c	2.39cd
‘Mira’ × ‘A1’	24.83bcdefg	27.08def	670cd	8.67bcdefgh	1.82efghij
‘Alba’ × ‘A1’	24.88bcdefg	28.43cde	703bc	7.00ijk	2.40bcd
‘Marmolada’ × ‘A1’	20.17klm	26.45defgh	528k	9.14abcde	2.25bcde
‘Clery’ × ‘A1’	20.15klm	23.83ghij	479lm	9.02abcdef	1.90efghi
‘Onda’ × ‘A1’	21.08hijklm	23.35hij	485lm	7.59fghijk	2.24bcde
‘A1’ × ‘Mira’	27.05bcd	20.05kl	534jk	8.33cdefghi	1.65ghij
‘Alba’ × ‘Mira’	22.95efghijk	26.28efghi	594gh	8.00defghijk	3.03a
‘Marmolada’ × ‘Mira’	27.33bc	23.70ghij	643de	8.72bcdefg	2.14bcdef
‘Clery’ × ‘Mira’	20.33jklm	18.58l	375p	8.31cdefghi	2.17bcde
‘Onda’ × ‘Mira’	25.43bcdef	18.05lm	454mn	8.47cdefghi	2.17bcde
‘A1’ × ‘Alba’	24.03cdefgh	26.53defg	632ef	6.50k	1.43ijk
‘Mira’ × ‘Alba’	23.78defghi	24.05fghij	566hij	7.88efghijk	2.09cdefg
‘Marmolada’ × ‘Alba’	27.45b	28.48cde	777a	7.00ijk	2.00defgh
‘Clery’ × ‘Alba’	19.08mn	37.15a	707b	7.20hijk	1.83efghij
‘Onda’ × ‘Alba’	26.02bcde	23.53ghij	609fg	6.53k	1.88efghij
‘A1’ × ‘Marmolada’	32.05a	23.73ghij	752a	8.33cdefghi	1.85efghij
‘Mira’ × ‘Marmolada’	21.63ghijklm	15.20mn	325q	9.67abc	3.02a
‘Alba’ × ‘Marmolada’	25.50bcdef	17.53lmn	443no	8.50cdefghi	2.58ab
‘Clery’ × ‘Marmolada’	20.65ijklm	33.70b	694bc	7.81efghijk	2.52bc
‘Onda’ × ‘Marmolada’	22.40fghijkl	25.70efghij	571bi	8.23cdefghi	2.12bcdefg
‘A1’ × ‘Clery’	18.80mn	30.60bc	569bi	8.50cdefghi	1.40jk
‘Mira’ × ‘Clery’	16.68no	25.25fghij	420o	7.50ghijk	2.42bcd
‘Alba’ × ‘Clery’	23.58efghij	22.63jk	524k	8.33cdefghi	1.55hijk
‘Marmolada’ × ‘Clery’	22.80efghijk	18.20lm	412o	7.78efghijk	1.82efghij
‘Onda’ × ‘Clery’	14.68o	25.58efghij	373p	9.43abcd	1.91efghij
‘A1’ × ‘Onda’	25.20bcdef	23.83ghij	587gh	10.33a	1.67fghij
‘Mira’ × ‘Onda’	21.33hijklm	29.45cd	624efg	6.67jk	1.07±0.06k
‘Alba’ × ‘Onda’	23.35efghijk	23.30ij	541ijk	8.33cdefghi	1.57hij
‘Marmolada’ × ‘Onda’	20.75hijklm	23.63ghij	489l	10.11ab	2.12bcdefg
‘Clery’ × ‘Onda’	20.88hijklm	14.70n	306q	8.08defghij	2.27bcde
‘Clery’ × ‘A1’	24.83bcdefg	27.08def	670cd	8.67bcdefgh	1.82efghij

Note: The means for parents and crosses were tested separately. The means with the same letters do not differ at P = 0.05 based on Duncan’s multiple range test.

Analysis of variance for combining ability

Considering the significance of the general, the specific combining ability and the reciprocal effects, it was found that the additive and non-additive gene action as well as the maternal effects were involved in the inheritance of all traits (Table 2). The values of ratio between GCA and SCA indicated that the additive effects have a higher contribution to non-additive ones in the inheritance of all five traits, especially for fruit weight and plant yield. The contributions of additive effects varied from 63% for fruits number/plant to the highest values of 84-91% for plant yield and fruit weight. The high values of the reciprocal effects suggested that the parental genotypes position played an important role in the expression of all traits in the F₁ generation.

GCA analysis

The parents varied regarding the GCA effects for fruit weight from 3.07 in 'Clery' to 1.24 in 'Marmolada' variety. 'Alba' and 'Marmolada' varieties have a major influence on the increase of fruits size and the favourable additive effects of these occur with a higher intensity when these genotypes are used as female genitors. The significantly negative estimate of 'Clery' indicated that this variety possesses additive genes that reduce the fruit weight regardless of the position in the cross. The GCA effects were correlated with the fruit weight of the parents ($r = 0.890$). In the case of 'Mira' and 'A1', the low values of GCA are due to the fact that the effect of additive genes on the fruit weight was highly influenced by the position of these parents in the cross (Table 3).

For fruits number/plant the GCA effects ranged from -1.52 to 1.50, and showed equal frequencies of positive and negative values. Also, these effects were not correlated ($r = 0.047$) with the values of this trait from the six parents. The significantly positive effects of 'Alba' and 'A1' acted mostly when used as female parent. In the case of 'Mira' and 'Onda' the low negative values of GCA indicated that their additive

genes cause a reduction of fruit number/plant especially due to maternal effects.

The amplitude of GCA effects for plant yield was relatively high with limits from -60.3 in 'Clery' to 63.4 for 'Alba'. The GCA estimation of the parents was not significant associated with their mean ($r = 0.270$). The high estimate of GCA effects for 'Alba' indicated that this variety has a significant influence on the increasing of plant yield, mainly when used as a female genitor. Also, the significant positive GCA estimate of 'A1' genotype indicated that in this case the additive effects contribute to the achievement of high yields, when it will be used as pollinator. The varieties 'Clery' and 'Onda' were poor general combiners for plant yield given their negative significant GCA effects.

Regarding the sugar content the GCA effects were predominantly positive and uncorrelated ($r = 0.136$) with the parents mean. 'Marmolada' variety has a high potential to increase the sugar content, and its favourable additive effects showed a good stability regardless the position in the cross (Table 4). The significant negative GCA effects of 'Alba' indicated that this variety possesses additive genes that reduce the sugar content, especially when used as a female genitor. In the case of 'Onda' the insignificant value of GCA is due to the fact that the effect of additive genes on the sugar content was highly influenced by the position in the cross. However, this gene effects contribute to an increase of sugar content only through maternal inheritance.

The estimation of GCA effects for pulp firmness ranged from -0.17 to 0.21, and showed equal positive and negative values. These effects are highly correlated with the pulp firmness of the parents ($r = 0.982$) such that the mean of the parents can be used to establish the pairs to crossing. The significantly positive effects of 'Marmolada' and 'Mira' lead to high pulp firmness mostly when used as female parent. 'A1' and 'Onda' were poor general combiners for pulp firmness and their additive effects were highly influenced by their role in the cross.

Table 2. Mean squares of combined ANOVA for five traits in F₁ strawberries diallel crosses

Source of variation	DF	Mean square				
		Fruit weight (g)	Fruit number/plant	Plant yield (g)	Sugar content (°Brix)	Pulp firmness (N)
Replication	3	14.12	11.01	1552	1.14	0.07
GCA	5	140.61**	81.40**	126779**	6.14**	1.08**
SCA	9	14.03*	47.82**	24801**	3.09**	0.62**
Reciprocal	15	40.84**	140.04**	62066**	3.42**	0.77**
Error	87	5.56	4.81	588	1.15	0.15
GCA/SCA		5.56	1.70	5.11	1.98	1.75

Note: *, ** Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$, respectively

Table 3. Estimation of general combining ability (GCA) effects for six parents of a complete diallel cross regarding fruit weight, fruits number and plant yield in strawberries

Parents	Fruit weight (g)			Fruits number/plant			Plant yield (g)		
	GCA ♀	GCA ♂	GCA	GCA ♀	GCA ♂	GCA	GCA ♀	GCA ♂	GCA
'A1'	-0.61	2.60	0.99	1.54	0.66	1.10*	26.80	68.60	47.70*
'Mira'	1.79	-1.18	0.30	-2.96	-0.08	-1.52**	-26.20	-25.20	-25.70
'Alba'	1.24	1.22	1.23*	3.66	-0.66	1.50**	112.00	14.80	63.40**
'Marmolada'	1.62	0.87	1.24*	-1.12	-0.20	-0.66	10.80	23.60	17.20
'Clery'	-3.52	-2.61	-3.07**	0.16	1.30	0.73	-86.60	-34.00	-60.30**
'Onda'	-0.53	-0.91	-0.72	-1.31	-1.05	-1.18*	-36.80	-47.80	-42.30*
SE (g ^{1/2})			0.61			0.51			20.45

Note: *, ** Significant at $P \leq 0.05$ and $P \leq 0.01$, respectively

Table 4. Estimation of general combining ability (GCA) effects for six parents of a complete diallel cross regarding sugar content and pulp firmness in strawberries

Parents	Sugar content (°Brix)			Pulp firmness (N)		
	GCA ♀	GCA ♂	GCA	GCA ♀	GCA ♂	GCA
'Al'	0,08	0,20	0,14	0,09	-0,43	-0,17**
'Mira'	0,17	-0,12	0,02	0,20	0,05	0,12*
'Alba'	-1,18	-0,17	-0,67***	-0,18	0,20	0,01
'Marmolada'	0,31	0,35	0,33*	0,39	0,04	0,21***
'Clery'	0,11	-0,12	-0,01	-0,21	0,11	-0,05
'Onda'	0,50	-0,15	0,17	-0,29	0,03	-0,13*
SE (g ⁻¹)			0,14			0,06

Note: *, **, *** Significant at $P \leq 0.05$, $P \leq 0.01$ and $P \leq 0.001$, respectively

Table 5. Estimation of specific combining ability (SCA) effects of strawberries diallel crosses regarding fruit weight, fruits number, plant yield, sugar content and pulp firmness

Crosses	Fruit weight (g)	Fruits number/plant	Plant yield (g)	Sugar content (°Brix)	Pulp firmness (N)
'Al' × 'Mira'	1.49***	-0.19	28.29	0.09	-0.24**
'Al' × 'Alba'	-1.16**	-0.07	-17.59	-0.79***	0.09
'Al' × 'Marmolada'	0.47	-0.24	12.69	-0.05	-0.03
'Al' × 'Clery'	-0.76	0.64	-6.46	0.39	-0.10
'Al' × 'Onda'	-0.04	-0.61	-16.93	0.36	0.29**
'Mira' × 'Alba'	-1.39***	0.90	-13.34	0.55**	0.36***
'Mira' × 'Marmolada'	-0.29	-2.11**	-51.56**	0.56**	0.12
'Mira' × 'Clery'	-0.88*	-1.43	-41.21*	-0.32	0.17
'Mira' × 'Onda'	1.06**	2.84***	77.82***	-0.88**	-0.41***
'Alba' × 'Marmolada'	0.55	-2.35**	-36.93*	-0.02	-0.01
'Alba' × 'Clery'	0.79*	2.81***	65.41***	0.41*	-0.28**
'Alba' × 'Onda'	1.20**	-1.29	2.44	-0.15	-0.15
'Marmolada' × 'Clery'	1.17**	1.57*	60.69***	-0.82***	-0.06
'Marmolada' × 'Onda'	-1.91***	2.65***	15.10	0.33	-0.01
'Clery' × 'Onda'	-0.32	-3.59***	-78.43***	0.34	0.28**
SE (s ⁻¹)	0.39	0.73	16.70	0.20	0.09

Note: *, **, *** Significant at $P \leq 0.05$, $P \leq 0.01$ and $P \leq 0.001$, respectively

SCA analysis

According to the data from Table 5 five positive significant SCA effects were observed for fruit weight, with higher values for 'Al' × 'Mira', 'Alba' × 'Onda' and 'Marmolada' × 'Clery'. There is a high probability that the offspring of these crosses will produce larger fruits. In addition, four crosses ('Marmolada' × 'Onda', 'Mira' × 'Alba', 'Al' × 'Alba' and 'Mira' × 'Clery') had negative SCA effects, associated with low values of this trait in the progeny.

For fruits number/plant, the crosses: 'Mira' × 'Onda', 'Alba' × 'Clery', 'Marmolada' × 'Onda' and 'Marmolada' × 'Clery' had high positive SCA effects which provided a large number of harvestable fruits in the offspring. Regarding the significant negative SCA effects of 'Clery' × 'Onda', 'Alba' × 'Marmolada' and 'Mira' × 'Marmolada', there is a low probability to asset progenies of them with a high fruits number/plant.

Significant positive SCA effects were found for plant yield, in 'Mira' × 'Onda', 'Alba' × 'Clery' and 'Marmolada' × 'Clery' crosses, which are worthy of being considered in order to improve the yield. The crosses: 'Clery' × 'Onda', 'Mira' × 'Marmolada', 'Mira' × 'Clery' and 'Alba' × 'Marmolada' had negative SCA effects, associated with low

yields in the progeny.

As regards to the high significant SCA effects for sugar content found in 'Mira' × 'Marmolada', 'Mira' × 'Alba' and 'Alba' × 'Clery', there is a high probability that the offspring of these crosses will produce sweeter fruits.

For pulp firmness, 'Mira' × 'Alba', 'Al' × 'Onda' and 'Clery' × 'Onda' crosses are favourable for the selection of genotypes with firm fruits.

Discussion

The results of this study illustrated that both general and specific combining effects are important in the genetic control of all five suited traits, with a major contribution of additive genes compared to the dominant and epistatic ones. However, the predominance of additive effects in the inheritance of different traits in strawberries was reported by various researchers: the ascorbic acid content (Lundergan and Moore, 1975); the fruit ripening time (Hortynski, 1987); the tolerance to *Verticillium dahliae* (Masny et al., 2014b); the fruit firmness (Shaw et al., 1987; Yashiro et al., 2002; Masny et al., 2005); the fruit weight (Simpson and Sharp, 1988; Masny et al., 2005; Masny et al., 2014a); the susceptibility to grey mould (Masny et al., 2005); plant yield

(Simpson and Sharp, 1988). The importance of additive and non-additive effects for the inheritance of the studied traits varies depending on the parents, in agreement with the findings of Sherman *et al.* (1967).

The present study and also a large number of other studies suggested that different traits in strawberries are highly inheritable: fruit size (Comstock *et al.*, 1958; Watkins *et al.*, 1970; Spangelo *et al.*, 1971; Whitaker *et al.*, 2012; Mishra *et al.*, 2015); plant yield, fruit number/plant, sugar content (Mishra *et al.*, 2015). In essence, there is a strong potential to improve this traits by selection of the best parents.

The significance of reciprocal effects indicated that an important role is played by the determination of parents to be used as donors or recipients of pollen in the cross. According with the present results and with Bestfleisch *et al.* (2014) findings the full diallel design might be useful for the analysis of breeding potential for different strawberries genotypes.

The GCA effects of the parents for fruit weight and pulp firmness can be predicted on the basis of their mean, because the GCA estimation was highly correlated with parental means. This has been also observed for fruit weight by Żurawicz and Masny (2014). Therefore, these effects are important for the selection of parents in order to improve those traits.

High significant SCA effects of the cross 'Mira' × 'Onda' between parents with high GCA for plant yield, can be a result of the interaction of the genes of both parents, and might be due to presence of high magnitude of non-additive especially complementary epistatic effects (Singh *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, according to Masny *et al.* (2016) one should expect that the productivity of the derived hybrids will be significantly higher than the sum of parent's GCA effects.

Regarding the crosses 'Alba' × 'Clery' and 'Marmolada' × 'Clery' the high positive SCA effects are associated with high and low GCA effects of the parents. According to the results of Joshi and Sharma, (1984) and Singh *et al.* (1986), intermating between crosses followed by selection may be useful in order to obtain desirable genotypes in crosses from parents with high × low and low × low GCA.

The cross 'A1' × 'Alba' had a low SCA effects for plant yield, even both parents are good combiners. This confirm the findings of Zhang *et al.* (2015) that the hybrids from two parents with high GCA always showed better hybrid performance even though their SCA were low.

The combining ability of the parents showed that none of them excelled for all traits in positive direction, being necessary to test the GCA effects of new strawberries genotypes, as suggested by Kaczmarek *et al.* (2017). As such, multiple crossings are necessary in order to develop valuable cultivars for both yields and quality traits.

Conclusions

The predominant role of additive over non-additive effects for the studied traits indicated that is possible to develop new valuable strawberries genotypes. The genotypes 'A1' and 'Alba' showed a higher concentration of favourable alleles for plant yield and fruits number, and they will allow the increase of plant yield when used as a male and of fruit

number when used as a female genitor. The variety 'Marmolada' was a good general combiner for sugar content, pulp firmness and fruit weight, with a significant effect when used as a female genitor. These parents might be used in hybridization in order to accelerate the genetic improvement of some yield and quality traits in strawberry.

References

- Ara T, Haydar A, Hayatmahamud, Khalequzzaman KM, Hossain MM (2009). Analysis of the different parameters for fruit yield and yield contributing characters in strawberry. *International Journal Sustainable Crop Production* 4:15-18.
- Bestfleisch M, Möhring J, Hanke M, Peil A, Flachowsky H (2014). A diallel crossing approach aimed on selection for ripening time and yield in breeding of new strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.) cultivars. *Plant Breeding* 133 (1):115-120.
- Capocasa F, Scalzo J, Mezzetti B, Battino M (2008). Combining quality and antioxidant attributes in the strawberry: The role of genotype. *Food Chemistry* 111:872-878.
- Carp N, Ciulca S (2016). Study of some yield components in different cultivars of strawberry. *Journal of Agricultural Research* 48(2):16-20.
- Comstock RE, Kelleher T, Morrow EB (1958). Genetic variation in an asexual species of the garden strawberry. *Genetics* 43:634-646.
- Connor AM, Stephens MJ, Hall HK, Alspach PA (2005). Variation and heritabilities of antioxidant activity and total phenolic content estimated from a red raspberry factorial mating design. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 130(4):534-542.
- Cruz CD, Vencovsky R (1989). Comparison of some methods of diallel analysis. *Revista Brasileira de Genética* 12:425-438.
- Daubeny HA (1961). Powdery mildew resistance in strawberry progenies. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 41:239-243.
- Davik J, Honne BI (2005). Genetic variance and breeding values for resistance to a wind-borne disease [*Sphaerotheca macularis* (Wallr. Ex Fr.)] in strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) estimated by exploring mixed and spatial models and pedigree information. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 111:256-264.
- Doving A, Mage F, Vestheim S (2005). Methods for testing strawberry fruit firmness: a review. *Small Fruits Review* 4:11-34.
- Eshghi S, Tafazoli E (2007). Possible role of cytokinins in flower induction in strawberry. *American Journal of Plant Physiology* 2:167-174.
- Falconer DS (1989). *Introduction of quantitative genetics*, 3rd edn. Longman, Essex.
- Fehr WR (1993). *Principles of cultivar development: development of hybrid cultivars*, vol 1. Macmillan Publishing Company, New York.
- Fort SB, Shaw DV (2000). Genetic analysis of strawberry root system traits in fumigated and nonfumigated soils. I. Inheritance patterns of strawberry root system characteristics. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 125:318-323.
- Griffing B (1956a). A generalised treatments of diallel crosses in quantitative inheritance. *Heredity* 10:31-50.
- Griffing B (1956b). Concept of general and specific combining ability in relation to diallel crossing systems. *Australian Journal of Biological*

- Sciences 9:463-493.
- Hakizimana F, Ibrahim AMH, Langham MAC, Haley SD, Rudd JC (2004). Diallel analysis of wheat streak mosaic virus resistance in winter wheat. *Crop Science* 44:89-92.
- Hancock JF (1999). *Strawberries*. CAB International, Wallingford, Oxford, UK.
- Hancock JF, Sjulín TM, Lobos GA (2008). Strawberry. In: Hancock JF (Ed). *Temperate fruit crop breeding. Germplasm to genomics*. Springer Netherlands pp 393-437.
- Hill J, Becker HC, Tigerstedt PMA (1998). The diallel cross: the ultimate mating design. In: *Quantitative and ecological aspects of plant breeding*. Chapman and Hall, UK, London pp 89-117.
- Hortyński JA (1987). Dziedziczenie niektórych cech ilościowych truskawki (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.). *Metody i problemy oszacowań*. [Methods and problems of estimation]. Wyd. AR Lublin.
- Hortyński JA, Żebrowska J, Gawroński J, Hulewicz T (1991). Factors influencing fruit size in the strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.). *Euphytica* 56:67-74.
- Hou ZX, Huang WD (2005). Immunohistochemical localization of IAA and ABP1 in strawberry shoot apexes during floral induction. *Planta* 222:678-687.
- Hsu CS, Watkins R, Bolton AT, Spangelo LPS (1969). Inheritance of resistance to powdery mildew in the cultivated strawberry. *Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology* 11:426-438.
- Kaczmarek E, Gavronski J, Jablonska-Rys E, Zalewska-Korona M, Radzki W, Sławińska A (2017). General combining ability and heterosis regarding the phytochemical properties in strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa*) hybrids. *Plant Breeding* 136:111-118.
- Joshi AK, Sharma GS (1984). Genetics of flag leaf area in wheat Triallel analysis. *Indian Journal of Genetics* 44:399-405.
- Lundergan CA, Moore JN (1975). Inheritance of ascorbic acid content and color intensity in fruits of strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.). *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 100(6):633-635.
- MacLachlan JB (1978). Data on the inheritance of resistance to powdery mildew in the cultivated strawberry. *Scientia Horticulturae* 8:43-49.
- Masny A, Mądry W, Żurawicz E (2005). Combining ability analysis of fruit field and fruit quality in ever-bearing strawberry cultivars using an incomplete diallel cross design. *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental Plant Research* 13:5-17.
- Masny A, Mądry W, Żurawicz E (2014a). Combining ability of selected dessert strawberry cultivars with different fruit ripening periods. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus* 13(1):67-78.
- Masny A, Żurawicz E, Pruski K, Mądry W (2014b). Combining ability analysis in 10 strawberry genotypes used in breeding cultivars for tolerance to *Verticillium* Wilt. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 139(3): 275-281.
- Masny A, Pruski K, Żurawicz E, Mądry W (2016). Breeding value of selected dessert strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa* Duch.) cultivars for ripening time, fruit yield and quality. *Euphytica* 207: 224-243.
- Mathey MM, Mookerjee S, Mahoney L, Finn CE, Hancock JF, Serçe S, Davis T, Stewart P, Whitaker VM, Jamieson AR, Bassil NV, Amaya I, Denoyes B, Hummer KE, Sargent D, van de Wegand E, Iezzoni A (2014). Using general and specific combining ability to further advance strawberry (*Fragaria* sp.) breeding. *Acta Horticulturae* 1049:193-200.
- Mathey MM, Mookerjee S, Mahoney LL, Gunduz K, Rosyara U, Hancock JF, Stewart PJ, Whitaker VM, Bassil NV, Davis TM, Finn CE (2017). Genotype by environment interactions and combining ability for strawberry families grown in diverse environments. *Euphytica* 213:112.
- McNicol RJ, Gooding HJ (1979). Assessment of strawberry clones and seedlings for resistance to *Sphaerotheca macularis*. *Horticulture Research* 19:35-41.
- Mishra PK, Ram RB, Kumar N (2015). Genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance in strawberry (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.). *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry* 39:451-458.
- Paszko D, Pawlak J, Wróblewska W (2014). Yield of two strawberry cultivars depending on the cropping method on the example of a commercial plantation in a specialized horticultural farm. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus* 13(6):149-159.
- Salentijn EMJ, Aharoni A, Schaart JG, Boone MJ, Krens FA (2003). Differential gene expression analysis of strawberry cultivars that differ in fruit-firmness. *Physiologia Plantarum* 118:571-578.
- Shaw DV, Bringham RS, Voth V (1987). Genetic variation for quality traits in an advanced cycle breeding population of strawberries. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 112:699-702.
- Shaw DV (1988). Genotypic variation and genotypic correlations for sugars and organic acids of strawberries. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 113:770-774.
- Shaw DV (1991). Recent advances in the genetics of strawberries. In: Dale A, Luby JJ (eds.) *The Strawberry into the 21st Century*. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon pp 76-83.
- Sherman WB, Janick J, Erickson HT (1967). Inheritance of fruit size in strawberry. *Proceedings of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 89: 309-317.
- Simpson DW (1987). The inheritance of mildew resistance in everbearing and day-neutral strawberry seedlings. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 62:329-334.
- Singh RK, Ahmed Z, Singh YP, Singh KN (1986). Combining ability studies for some metric traits in bread wheat. *Indian Journal of Genetics* 46:304-310.
- Singh M, Tomar A, Mishra CN, Srivastava SBL (2011). Genetic parameters and character association studies in Indian mustard. *Journal of Oilseed Brassica* 2(1):35-38.
- Simpson DW, Sharp DS (1988). The inheritance of fruit yield and stolon production in everbearing strawberries. *Euphytica* 38:65-74.
- Spangelo LPS, Watkins R, Hsu CS, Fejer SO (1971). Combining ability analysis in the cultivated strawberry. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 51:377-383.
- Ukalska J, Mądry W, Ukalski K, Masny A, Żurawicz E (2006). Patterns of variation and correlation among traits in a strawberry germplasm (*Fragaria* × *ananassa* Duch.). *Journal of Fruit and Ornamental*

- Plant Research 14:5-22.
- Vij S, Tyagi AK (2007). Emerging trends in the functional genomics of the abiotic stress response in crop plants. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 5:361-380.
- Watkins R, Spangelo LPS, Bolton AT (1970). Genetic variance components in cultivated strawberry. *Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology* 12:52-59.
- Whitaker VM, Osorio LF, Hasing T (2012). Estimation of genetic parameters for 12 fruit and vegetative traits in the University of Florida strawberry breeding population. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 137(5):316-324.
- Yashiro K, Tomita K, Ezura H (2002). Is it possible to breed strawberry cultivars which confer firmness and sweetness? *Acta Horticulturae* 567:223-225.
- Zhang X, Liangjie LV, Chai LV, Baojian G, Rugen X (2015). Combining ability of different agronomic traits and yield components in hybrid barley. *PLoS ONE* 10:6.
- Żurawicz E, Masny A (2014). 'Granda rosa' – new polish strawberry cultivar. *Acta Horticulturae* 1049:849-852.