Effect of No-tillage System and Fertilization on Wheat Production
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Abstract

Conservation agriculture is an alternative to conventional agriculture and one of the most efficient systems for sustainable agricultural development, stimulating soil biological activity, increasing organic matter and humus content. In order to evaluate the impact of the conservation agriculture system compared to the conventional agriculture system on wheat yield, a bi-factorial experiment on wheat crop based on split-plot model was organized in the Transylvania Plain, Romania, where there are a few research results in the field and the area has a high potential for the implementation of conservation agriculture system. First factor (‘A’) was agriculture system, having two levels: ‘A1’ tillage (classic) system and ‘A2’ no-tillage system, and the second, ‘B’ factor was fertilization, with three levels: ‘B1’ no fertilization, ‘B2’ N₈₀P₄₀ kg/ha, which is the average level practiced by farmers in the area and ‘B3’ N₁₅₀P₇₅ kg/ha, which is the recommended level for obtaining a high production performance specific to ‘Ariesan’ wheat cultivar used in this experiment. The results pointed out that, in comparison with conventional agriculture, the application of no-tillage system determined a lower wheat yield by 353 kg per surface unit. Fertilization assured a significant increase by 610 kg wheat grains per ha. In the case of the conservation agriculture system, the highest production gain was 1,260 kg/ha for ‘B3A2’ level compared to ‘B1A2’ level, where the highest fertilization level was applied. The lowest production gain was 410 kg/ha registered by ‘B3A1-B1A1’ which was the highest fertilizer dose in case of conventional agriculture. In conclusion, the application of no-tillage system increased the efficiency of fertilization in terms of wheat yield compared to the classical agriculture. As a result, it is recommended as farmers dealing with wheat cropping in the area and also in other regions with similar soil and climate conditions to implement conservation agriculture.
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Introduction

Conservation agriculture is a part of sustainable agriculture, aiming at optimizing yields and profits but also at protecting land resources and the environment. Conservation agriculture involves zero or minimum soil disturbance through tillage (no-tillage, reduced tillage, mulch tillage and strip-tillage), a balanced use of fertilizers and herbicides, a permanent soil biomass cover enhancing water and soil conservation, crop rotation and integrated pest management, reduced production costs and increased farming efficiency (Derpsch, 2008; Dumanski et al., 2006; Koepke, 2003; Koller, 2003).

The lower productivity induced by the use of a conservation agriculture system could be balanced by using fertilizers and herbicides (Feiza et al., 2003).

Due to its advantages, conservation agriculture has become a common practice on about 100 million ha in South and North America, Europe, Asia and Africa. No-tillage farming has become a current practice in countries such as: USA, Brazil, Argentina, Australia, Canada, Mexico (Sarpe, 2008).

In Europe, reduced tillage is more common than no-tillage and it is used in order to mitigate soil erosion and assure reduced costs in machinery, fuel and labour saving in Norway, Germany, Netherlands, etc. The controversial opinion existing in Europe about the implementation of conservation agriculture is due to the progress registered in the development of integrated agriculture system (IAS), which aims to maximize farmers’ income by reducing farm inputs (fertilizers, herbicides, etc.) to the EU (Koller, 2003; Lahmar, 2009). However, conservation agriculture is seen as a part of the food agri practices and agri-environmental measures (Bilalis et al., 2011).

During the transition to conservation agriculture beneficial effects were noticed on cereal growth, soil N, physical properties, moisture and organic matter (Grüber et al., 2011; Lopez et al., 2012; Lopez-Fando et al., 2012; Pietola et al., 2003; Melero et al., 2011).
In Romania, no tillage or reduced tillage is used as a mean to diminish costs, and improve soil structure and maintain environment quality (Rusu et al., 2011). These systems were tested in a few agricultural research stations and farms mainly for wheat, maize, soybean and other important crops in Romania, assessing the impact of no tillage or minimum tillage on crop yield, grain quality, soil properties, water permeability, carbon sequestration (Grigoras et al., 2011; 2012).

In this context, the paper purpose was to study the impact of tillage system and fertilization on winter wheat crop under the conditions of the Transylvanian Plain, Romania, where farmers need alternatives for agricultural system and fertilizer amount per surface unit in order to increase wheat production. The results should be available for any other farmers who are dealing with wheat cropping in similar climate and soil conditions.

Materials and methods

Biological material
The experiments employed winter wheat as biological material, namely the ‘Ariesan’ cultivar that is largely spread in agricultural farms of the Transylvania area. The dose of seed used per ha was 245 kg. Solely certified seeds were used, treated with the amount of 2 liters/ton insecticide-fungicide which contains imidacloprid 233 g/l and tebuconozol 13 g/l. The ‘Ariesan’ cultivar has a high production potential assuring 550 germinable grains per square meter.

Study site
The experiments have been carried out at the Agriculture Research and Development Station Turda, Cluj County, in the central part of Romania, situated at 46°35’ North latitude, 23° 47’ East longitude and 427 m altitude.

Climate and soil conditions
According to the Turda Meteorological Station, the average temperature in the area was ranging between 3.7°C in January 2011 and 20.7°C in July 2011, considered a normal temperature for winter and a high temperature for the summer season.

The average rainfalls varied between 172.6 mm in June (an excessively rainy month) to 3.4 mm in September 2011 (an excessively droughty month).

The soil where the experiments were carried out was of a brown reddish type characterized by 5.2 pH and 3.8% humus content.

Methodological aspects
Based on a split-plot model, the experiments were organized on the surface of 12,000 square meters divided into 4 large plots (4 repetitions) each one of 3,000 square metres. Each large plot was divided into other two sub plots of 1,500 square metres each. Each sub-plot was divided into three split small plots each one having 500 square metres.

The bi-factorial analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used as follows: ‘A’ factor-agricultural system with 2 levels: ‘A1’- tillage and ‘A2’- no-tillage; ‘B’ factor-fertilization with 3 levels: ‘B1’- unfertilized land, control variant, ‘B2’- fertilization N<sub>150</sub>P<sub>70</sub> kg/ha, which is the average fertilizer amount used by farmers in the area according to National Institute for Statistics, and ‘B3’- fertilization N<sub>150</sub>P<sub>70</sub> kg/ha, which is the optimum level recommended to be applied by farmers in order to carry out a high wheat yield specific to ‘Ariesan’ cultivar (Rusu et al., 2005).

The resulted data were statistically processed using variance analysis, F test and LSD (Least Significant Difference) test, which are commonly utilized in the multi-criterial statistical analysis.

The mathematical formula used in such a case was: \[ \eta_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_{ij} \] where: \( \eta_{ij} \) was the average of the observed variables corresponding to i and j cells of ANOVA model, \( \mu \)-general average, \( \alpha_i \) and \( \beta_j \) are the effects of A and B factors, \( \gamma_{ij} \) was the interaction between various levels of A and B factors.

Technological aspects
The conventional agriculture system applied consisted of: ploughing, harrowing, sowing, harvesting and conservation no-tillage system and was characterized by direct seeding with direct drilling machine.

Weed control was uniformly carried out on the whole experimental surface, by means of a pre-emergence treatment with 2 liters/ha herbicide (glifosat 360 g/l) and post-emergence treatment with 20g/ha (triburon metil).

For the both agricultural systems, harvesting was performed with the harvester specific for experimental fields.

Results and discussion
The influence of agriculture system (‘A’ factor ) on wheat yield
In the case of the classical tillage system the average production obtained was 2,526.7 kg/ha and for the no-tillage conservation system it was 2,173 kg/ha. The difference of 353.4 kg/ha proved that conventional system recorded a higher production compared to the performance level registered by the no tillage system.

However, between the average values of the obtained productions under the influence of the two agriculture systems there are no significant differences. But the existing difference between the two agriculture systems is explained by the increased apparent density, the reduced soil porosity due to the increased weeding potential.

The influence of ‘B’ factor - fertilization level on wheat yield for the same level of ‘A’ factor - tillage

The influence of ‘B’ factor - fertilization on wheat yield obtained in conventional agriculture system, ‘A1’
For the tillage system of agriculture, wheat yield increased from 1,780 kg/ha for ‘B1’ - unfertilized land to 3,190 kg/ha for ‘B3’, where the highest amount of fertilizer was applied, N<sub>150</sub>P<sub>70</sub> kg/ha. Yield gains due to fertilization level have been 46.6% for ‘B2’ and 79.2% for ‘B3’ (Tab. 1).
Influence of 'B' factor - fertilization on wheat yield obtained in conservation agriculture system, 'A2'

In the no-tillage system of agriculture, the wheat yield also increased in accordance to fertilization level from 1,550 kg/ha in case of 'B1'-unfertilized land to 2,810 kg/ha for 'B3' - N\textsubscript{150} P\textsubscript{75} kg/ha. The yield gains due to the amount of fertilizer applied varied between 610 kg/ha (39.3%) for 'B2' and 1,260 kg/ha (81.2%) in case of 'B3'.

For each fertilization level, the differences of yield gains are: -230 kg/ha for 'B1'-unfertilized land, -450 kg/ha for 'B2' - N\textsubscript{80} P\textsubscript{40} kg/ha and -380 kg/ha for 'B3' - N\textsubscript{150} P\textsubscript{75} kg/ha fertilization. Conservation agriculture determined a reduction of wheat yield ranking between 17.3% in case of 'B2' and 11.9% in case of 'B3' (Table 1).

The influence of fertilization level 'B' Factor on wheat yield

In the case of 'B1', the control variant, unfertilized land, the average wheat yield was 1,665 kg/ha. The 'B2' variant, where the fertilization level was N\textsubscript{80} P\textsubscript{40} registered 2,385 kg/ha and the 'B3' variant with the highest fertilizer dose N\textsubscript{150} P\textsubscript{75} performed 3,000 kg/ha. Therefore, the higher the fertilization level, the higher the wheat yield (Table 2).

Analyzing the influence of fertilization level on wheat production it was noticed that the additional amount of fertilizer has determined a very significant increase of the production obtained in comparison with the unfertilized land (Ibragimov et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2009).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>'B' factor</th>
<th>'A' factor</th>
<th>Wheat yield (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Differences (kg/ha)</th>
<th>Average 'B' factor</th>
<th>Difference (kg/ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>'B1'</td>
<td>'A1'</td>
<td>1,780</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,665</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'A2'</td>
<td>1,550</td>
<td>-230</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'B2' N\textsubscript{80} P\textsubscript{40} kg/ha</td>
<td>'A1'</td>
<td>2,610</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,385</td>
<td>720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'A2'</td>
<td>2,160</td>
<td>-450</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>'B3' N\textsubscript{150} P\textsubscript{75} kg/ha</td>
<td>'A1'</td>
<td>3,190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>1,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>'A2'</td>
<td>2,810</td>
<td>-380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LSD 5% 192.1 126.0
LSD 1% 2917 213.8
LSD 0.1% 412.6 283.2

LSD - Least Significant Difference

Influence of agriculture system-A factor on wheat yield for the same fertilization level-B factor

For the control variant (unfertilized), wheat yield was 1,780 kg/ha in case of conventional agriculture and 1,550 kg/ha in case of conservation agriculture. Therefore, no-tillage system determined a reduced wheat yield by 12.2%. For 'B2' where N\textsubscript{80} P\textsubscript{40} kg fertilization level was applied per surface unit, wheat yield registered 2,610 kg/ha in case of conventional agriculture and 450 kg/ha less in case of conservation agriculture, that is 2,160 kg/ha (Fig.1.). In this case, no-tillage system determined a yield reduced by 17.3%. For 'B3' level, wheat yield recorded 3,190 kg/ha in case of 'A1'-conventional agriculture system and 2,810 kg/ha by 380 kg less for 'A2'-conservation agriculture system. Wheat yield was 12% lower for 'A2'. Therefore, the conventional agriculture system determined higher wheat production performance compared to conservation agriculture for the same fertilization level (Table 2).

The analysis of the data from Table 2. regarding the influence of the agriculture system on wheat production revealed that for all the fertilization levels conservation agriculture system has determined a lower production. This was due to the fact that sowing directly into the ground required by conservation agriculture has led to a higher apparent soil density than in the classical tillage system. As a consequence, soil porosity and its capacity of mineralization of the applied fertilizer has been diminished (Dormaer, 1990).
by 230 kg/ha for ‘B1’-unfertilized, by 450 kg/ha for ‘B2’ N$_{80}$P$_{40}$ and by 380 kg/ha for ‘B3’ N$_{150}$P$_{75}$. In case of the conservation agriculture system, the highest production gain was 1,260 kg/ha for ‘B3A2’ compared to ‘B1A2’, that is for the highest fertilization level. The lowest production gain was 410 kg/ha registered by ‘B3A1-B1A1’ which is the highest fertilization dose in case of conventional agriculture. The yield differences determined by the dose of fertilizer applied are statistically assured.

As a conclusion for practice, farmers could apply no-tillage system but they have to pay attention to fertilization level in order to sustain wheat productivity. The amount of fertilizer has to be determined according to the soil content in nutrients, plant need and crop rotation. Under an insufficient water supply, conservation agriculture has determined a lower wheat yield in comparison with conventional agriculture. This was due to the increase of soil apparent density, the reduction of soil permeability and the decline of soil capacity to leavigate fertilizers at the level of plant roots. But this decline of production was not statistically ensured.

Therefore, conservation agriculture could be successfully implemented in wheat cropping in the Transylvanian Plain but also in any other regions where climate and soil conditions are similar.
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